

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE,

SOUTH 24-PARGANAS AT ALIPORE

**Misc. Case (Arb.) No. 7 of 2026**

Present : Sri Abhranil Neogi (WB00851) District Judge-in-charge

**Order No. 05, dated 17.03.2026**

The date is fixed for hearing of the applications.

Petitioner files hazira.

An application has been filed by the petitioner seeking extension of the ad-interim order which was vacated pursuant to the order dated 07.03.2026 on the grounds as stated therein.

The respondent files fresh Vakalatnama and one Affidavit of Notice. Copy served and objected to.

Respondent also files an application under Order VII Rule 11 of C.P.C. Copy served and objected to.

It was contended on behalf of the respondent that it has challenged the jurisdiction of this Court and the matter is exclusively triable by a Commercial Court. It is submitted that when a question of jurisdiction is involved, this Court should not entertain an application seeking extension of the ad-interim order which has been vacated, but at the first instance should hear out the application under Order VII Rule 11 of C.P.C.

I have carefully gone through the respective submissions and materials available on record.

As objection as to jurisdiction to hear out the matter is taken, it is just and proper to hear the application under Order VII Rule 11 of C.P.C at first.

Let the said application be heard on **21.04.2026**.

Objection, if any, in the meantime.

The applications seeking extension of the ad-interim order of injunction shall be heard thereafter.

To date.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/- Sri Abhranil Neogi

District Judge, I/c

Sd/- Sri Abhranil Neogi

District Judge, I/c