

**Title Appeal- 7 of 2026**

**Order No. 2 dated 11.03.2026**

The appellant files hazira along postal receipt and track reports. The respondent nos. 3 and 4 appear today by filing respective vakalatnamas and haziras. Bailiff's reports on record reveal effective services on respondent nos. 1 (c) and 2. The track reports filed by the appellant today reveal effective services upon respondent nos. 1(d) and 1(e).

It appears from the track reports and postal receipt, that one recipient mentioned therein namely as "Saferuddin Sk and another as "Sahara Bibi" whereas the respondents remain unserved yet are respondent no. 1 (a) and 1(b) namely as " Sohara Bibi" and Saburoddin Sk." respectively mentioned on the memo of appeal.

Therefore, the services upon the respondent no. 1 (a) and 1(b) are considered ineffective. The case is adjourned today for the end of justice.

To 6.7.26 for taking fresh steps regarded respondent nos. 1 (a) and 1(b) by the appellant, filing W/O by respondent nos. 3 and 4 and appearance of respondent nos. 1 (c), 1(d), 1(e) and 2. Appellant is to serve copy, if any, upon respondent in the meantime.

District Judge (I/C)  
(WB01082)