

**G.R Case No:- 373/2012**  
**C.I.S. Registration No: 707/22**  
**JO Code No 01449**

**Order dated: 04-11-2025**

\_\_\_\_\_ The accused person namely Rampada Bauri is brought under arrest with he staengh if WA issuing Court today . He is taken into custody

The accused namely Kali Buri Bauri is surrendered today before this court , She is taken into custody .

Ld advocate for the accused persons have also filed a petition praying for bail along with a fresh vakalatnama. Ld lawyer for the accused person submitted that the accused persons may be enlarged on bail. Heard ld advocate for the accused. Perused complainant and the entire case record.

Considering the fact that the accused persons being the permanent resident within this court's jurisdiction, the prayer for bail as filed by the accused person is hereby allowed. Moreover there is no immediate prospect of conclusion of trial and keeping the accused person in custody will not serve any purpose at all. Hence keeping in mind the canons of personal liberty the bail petition as filed is allowed.

Hence it is

ORDERED

That the accused persons are enlarged on bail. The accused persons may find bail of Rs. 500/- each (five hundred) with a surety namely Uttam Bauri of like amount i.d to J.C. The accused person is further directed that he shall be punctual in attending court on each subsequent date.

To date

Typed by me;

Judicial Magistrate, 01<sup>st</sup> Court

Latter,

All the accused persons named in the charge sheet on bail are present.

Ld. A.P.P. and the ld lawyer representing the accused persons are also present. As stipulated by the previous order today is fixed for consideration of charge. Hence the case is taken for consideration of charge.

Heard ld. Advocates for both sides. Perused the case record.

It is axiomatic from the case record that the charge sheet has been submitted against the accused persons for allegedly committing offences punishable under section 498A /406/420/494/34 IPC Upon perusal of the F.I.R and other materials kept in the record as well as in th C.D, there are sufficient reasons for believing prima facie that the accused persons have committed the offences punishable under Section 498A /406/420/494/34 IPC . Hence I have no dichotomy that from the materials available on record there exist sufficient materials to frame charge against the accused persons under Section 380/411 IPC of the Indian Penal Code.

Accordingly, the charges under section 498A /406/420/494/34 are framed against all the accused persons.

The substances and particulars of the charge so framed is read over and explained to the accused persons to which the persons pleaded not guilty of committing those offences by saying "ami nirdosh" and claimed to be tried.

Formal charge is accordingly prepared and kept in the record.

Fix **to date ie 10-11-2025** for evidence of **C.S.W-01**.CSW02 ,CSW03,CSW04, and CSW 11

D.A. to take note.

Issue summons accordingly. Accused persons as before.

Typed by me;

Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Court  
Raghunathpur mk