

FORM - A

<p>IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE 2ND COURT, RAGHUNATHPUR, DISTRICT - PURULIA</p> <p>Present : <i>Debayan Ganguly</i></p> <p>Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 2nd Court Raghunathpur, Purulia J.O. Code: WB01313</p> <p>Date of the Judgment: 23rd day of March, 2026</p> <p>Case No. GR: 178/24 TR: 128/24</p> <p>(CIS Registration No. 481/2024) (CNR No.- WBPU06-000744-2024)</p> <p>(Arising from Santaldih P.S Case No. 28/24 dated 18/03/2024)</p>	
Complainant	State of West Bengal
Presented by	Name of Advocate: Rabi Sharma
Accused	1. Raju Goswami 2. Perki Goswami
Represented by	Name of Advocate: Jitendranath Mahato

FORM - B

Date of Offence	After her marriage and on 17.03.2024
Date of F.I.R.	18.03.2024
Date of Police report	31.03.2024
Date of framing Charge/Plea against the accused	12.11.2025
Date of commencement of Evidence	31.12.2025
Date of examination of the accused u/s. 313 of the Cr.P.C.	23.03.2026
Date on which Judgment is reserved	N/A
Date of Judgment	23.03.2026
Date of the Sentencing Order, if any	N/A

Accused details:

Rank of Accused	Name of the Accused	Date of arrest/ surrender	Date of release on Bail	Offences charged with	Whether acquitted or convicted	Sentence imposed	Period of Detention Undergone during Trial for purpose of Section 428
A1.	Raju Goswami	27.03.24	27.03.24	U/s- 498A/323 /506/34 of IPC	Acquitted	N/A	N/A
A2.	Perki Goswami	27.03.24	27.03.24	U/s- 498A/323 /506/34 of IPC	Acquitted	N/A	N/A

FORM - C**A. Prosecution:****LIST OF PROSECUTION /DEFENCE /COURT WITNESSES**

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
W1.	Rumpa Gorain	
W2.	Parbati Gorain	

B. Defence Witnesses, if any :

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)

C. Court Witnesses, if any :

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)

LIST OF PROSECUTION /DEFENCE /COURT EXHIBITS**A. Prosecution:**

SR. NO.	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
1	P-1	FIR
2	P-1/1	Signature of P.W.1 in the FIR

B. Defence:

SR. NO.	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

C. Court Exhibits :

SR. NO.	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

D. Material Objects:

SR. NO.	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

JUDGMENT

This is a case u/s 498A/323/506/34 of IPC. The prosecution case in short is that the complainant got married with the accused Raju

Goswami on 27/10/2023. After marriage the complainant stayed at her matrimonial house for 5 days and subsequently her husband took her in a rented house. The husband of the complainant used to assault her as her father could not fulfill the dowry demands. On 15/02/2024 her husband told her to abort the child in her womb. On 17/03/2024 both the accused persons threatened her over telephone to abort her child.

On the basis of such complaint, Santaldih P.S. chalked out formal FIR being no. 28/24 dt. 18/03/2024 u/s 498A/323/506/34 of IPC against the accused persons.

Thereafter, the case was investigated by the ASI of police Chiranjit Pal of Santaldih P.S. who after completion of the investigation, submitted charge sheet being no. 20/24 dt. 31/03/24 u/s 498A/323/506/34 of IPC against the accused persons.

Thereafter, on 12/11/2025 charge was framed u/s 498A/323/506/34 of IPC against the accused persons to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

Thereafter, the prosecution started to examine c/s witnesses and the prosecution had examined the following witnesses:-

P.W. 1 - Rumpa Gorain.

P.W. 2 - Parbati Gorain.

After closure of the prosecution evidence, the accused persons were examined u/s 313 Cr. PC. As no defence witness was adduced, argument was heard for both parties.

POINTS FOR DETERMINATION

- a) Whether the prosecution has been able to prove the offence u/s u/s- 498A/323/506/34 of IPC against the accused persons beyond the shadow of all reasonable doubts?

DECISION WITH REASONS

Having heard both sides and after perusal of the materials on record now let me scan the evidence from the case record.

P.W. 1 Rumpa Gorain deposed that she lodged complaint in Santaldih P.S against her husband and mother in law and identified them in Court. She lodged complaint due to some matrimonial dispute. Apart from that she failed to state anything more regarding the incident. The FIR was marked as Exhibit-P-1 and her signature in the same was marked as Exhibit-P-1/1.

P.W. 2 Parbati Gorain deposed that her daughter Rumpa lodged complaint in Santaldih P.S against her husband and mother in law and identified them in Court. Her daughter lodged complaint due to some matrimonial dispute. Apart from that she failed to state anything more regarding the incident.

From the evidence on record it appears that both P.W.1 i.e. the de facto complainant herself and P.W.2 did not support the prosecution case. They only stated that the complaint was lodged due to some matrimonial dispute and nothing else. Accordingly, there is no evidence that the accused persons physically and mentally tortured, assaulted and threatened the complainant.

Under the position of evidence I do not find the accused persons guilty of the charge brought against them. In other words, the prosecution has miserably failed to prove the offence u/s 498A/323/506/34 of IPC against the accused persons beyond the shadow of all reasonable doubts.

Hence it is

ORDERED

that the accused persons namely Raju Goswami and Perki Goswami are not found guilty of the offence u/s 498A/323/506/34 of IPC

and they are acquitted in terms of section 248(1) of Cr.P.C. and are also discharged from the bail bonds as furnished by them.

The instant case stands disposed off.

Seized alat, if any, be disposed off in accordance with law after expiry of the appeal period.

Note in the TR and upload the judgment in the CIS.

Dictated and corrected
by me:

Sd/-
Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
2nd Court,
Raghunathpur, Purulia.

Sd/-
Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
2nd Court,
Raghunathpur, Purulia.