

IN THE COURT OF THE XIII ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT. AT L.B. NAGAR

Present: **SMT. M. VANI,**
XIII Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar.

Tuesday, this the 11th day of August, 2026.

Crl.M.P. No. 1195 of 2026

IN

Cr.No. 836 of 2026

BETWEEN:

Mohd. Awais Akram, S/o. Mohd. Akram, Age: 22
years, Occ: Business, R/o. H.No.7-2-49/5/A40/A,
DNM Colony, Sanathnagar, Hyderabad.

.....Petitioner/Accused.

AND

The State of Telangana.
through Station House Officer,
Police Station Meerpet
Rep. by its Addl. Public Prosecutor.

.....Respondent/Complainant.

Offence U/ Secs. 64, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Petition filed U/Section 483 of, Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

This petition is coming before me for hearing in the presence of Mir Taqi Hussain Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused and of Smt. P. Manjula Devi, Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor representing on behalf of the State, upon perusing the material papers on record, upon hearing the arguments and the matter having stood over for consideration to this day, this Court made the following:

:: O R D E R ::

This Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as "B.N.S.S.") for grant of anticipatory bail in Cr.No.836/2026 of Police Station Meerpet, for the offences under Sections 64, 351(2) of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as "B.N.S.").

2. Notice of this petition is given to the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, who opposed the petition by filing counter.

3. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused argued that the Petitioner/Accused is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. The petitioner/Accused is denying all the allegations made by the defacto complainant and the petitioner is not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant. The petitioner and defacto complainant was in contact with each other from August 2025, the alleged relationship continued over a prolonged period, the defacto complainant was fully aware of the consequences of the relationship, hence the question of forcing her to sexual inter-course does not arise. It is further submits that due to some disputes between the family of the petitioner and defacto complainant, the petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner/accused is a law abiding citizen, hailing from respectable a family and having good reputation in the society and he has no criminal antecedents prior to this alleged crime. That the petitioner/accused is ready to cooperate with the investigation. That the Petitioner/Accused is ready and willing to perform sufficient sureties to the satisfaction of this Court while granting bail to the Petitioner/Accused; and finally prayed to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail on any conditions.

4. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the petition by filing Counter by alleging that the offence against the petitioner is grave and serious in nature and the investigation is at crucial stage and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is indispensable for effective investigation. If the Petitioner/Accused is granted anticipatory bail the Petitioner/Accused may threaten and also tampering the evidence and the investigating agency may difficult to proceed with further investigation and several witnesses are yet to be examined and their statements are yet to be recorded and there is every possibility of the petitioner absconding or evading the process of law and in this case the investigation is at initial stage; and finally prayed to dismiss the anticipatory bail petition.

5. Heard both sides and perused the material available on record and the citations filed by the learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused.

6. Basing on the contentions on both the parties, now the Point for determination before this Court is:

“Whether the Petitioner/Accused is entitled for grant of anticipatory bail as prayed for ? If so, on what conditions ?

7. **POINT:**

The allegations against the Petitioner/Accused is that on 20-06-2026 received a complaint from the defacto complainant in which she stated that her relative Awais Akram engaged in furniture business and residing at DNM Colony, Sanath Nagar, Hyderabad, used to visit her house regularly and he came into contact with the victim girl. In August 2025, he proposed

love to her, and despite her refusal, he continuously pressured her for three months until she accepted. From September 2025, they communicated through social media and met at various places. Later, he demanded private photographs and on refusal, harassed her with calls and messages. In April 2026, he began compelling her for sexual inter-course and threatened to circulate her private photos. On 30-04-2026, he took her to Angel Hotel, Meerpet and against her will commit forcible sexual intercourse, secretly recording photos and videos. He continued to threaten her by showing these images to his friends and warning of circulation on social media. As such she requested to take necessary action as per the law.

8. As seen from the record, the offence alleged to have been committed by the Petitioner/Accused is grave in nature. In the event of granting bail, there is likelihood of the Petitioner/Accused threatening the witnesses including the defacto complainant and which may cause hurdle to the investigation or the Petitioner/Accused might repeat similar offence and thereby the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor submitted in her Counter that the investigation is at crucial stage and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is indispensable for effective investigation. Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, it appears that there is a prima facie case against the Petitioner/Accused and that due to the specific involvement of the Petitioner/Accused and in the event of that the anticipatory bail cannot be granted. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

9. **IN THE RESULT**, this petition is **dismissed**.

Dictated to the Stenographer on computer directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this the 11th day of August, 2026.

**XIII-Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
R.R. District, at L.B. Nagar.**

Copy to: All concerned.