

21 CC NI ACT 6899/2026
HDFC BANK LIMITED Vs. VIVEK PATSARIYA
01.07.2026

Fresh CC NI Act u/s 138 case received from FC. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Mohd Sarik, Counsel for complainant.

In view of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in the case of *Sanjabij Tari v. Kishore S. Borcar and Anr., Crl. Appeal No. 1755* of 2010, the requirement to issue summons to the accused in terms of Section 223, BNSS i.e. at pre-cognizance stage has been dispensed with.

Original documents have been filed today. The case has already been registered as it was filed via e-filing.

Arguments on summoning of accused heard. Complaint and documents filed therewith perused.

Upon consideration of complaint and documents filed prima facie there appears to be sufficient ground to proceed against the accused **u/s 25 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007.**

Accordingly, I take cognizance, let **summons be issued upon accused** upon filing of PF/RC/speed post within 20 days through all permissible modes including e.mail and Whatsapp service.

As per the guidelines laid down in the case titled as '**Damodar S. Prabhu Vs. Sayed Baba Lal H'**, AIR 2010 (SC) 1907, Ahlmad is directed to make a mention on the summons (add separate sheet, if required) that the accused can make an application for compounding of the offence at the first or second hearing of the case and, if such an application is made, compounding may be allowed by the court without imposing any cost on the accused.

Put up for reports on summons on **03.11.2026.**

(Swayam Siddha Tripathy)
JMFC-02/Dwarka Courts
New Delhi/01.07.2026