

CS-297-2025 Sahil Khan Vs M/s Cholamandlam

Present: Sh. K.S.Saini, Adv counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Gaurav Singla, Adv counsel for defendant no.1.
Sh. Gaurav Singla, Adv counsel for defendant
no.2/applicant.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has replied by suffering statement that if the application for setting-aside exparte order dated 30.07.2025 passed against defendant no.2 is allowed then heavy cost should be imposed. Arguments heard on the application for setting-aside exparte order dated 30.07.2025 against applicant/defendant no.2. It was submitted that defendant no.2/applicant had already put appearance and the defendant no.1 & 2 are the same company. It was further submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant/defendant no.2 that absence of applicant/defendant no.2 on 30.07.2025 was neither intentional nor willful and the suit is at its initial stage and he may be joined the proceedings.

I have perused the case file. The present suit has been filed for permanent injunction and mandatory injunction. The case is at its initial stage. It is well settled that no party should remained unheard and each and every case should be decided on merits. The applicant/defendant no.2 wants to contest the suit on merits. So, in the interest of justice, the applicant/defendant no.2 is allowed to join the proceedings and the present application is hereby allowed, subject to cost of Rs.1000/- to be paid in DLSA by defendant no.2 on or before the next date of hearing.

Ld. Counsel for the parties submitted that there are chances

of compromise between the parties and requested for an adjournment to put the case in Lok Adalat. In view of the same, case is adjourned to 13.12.2025 in Lok Adalat.

Date of Order : 02.12.2025

Aman Bansal Steno

(Gurmanak Pal Singh)

CJJD/Patiala

UID No.PB00734