

Criminal Misc. Case No. 490 of 2026

Order No. 03
06.06.2026

This is an application under Section 482 of BNSS, filed by the accused/petitioner namely; **Gurupada Mandal**, praying for anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest, in connection with Manbazar P.S Case No. 32 of 2026 dated 01.03.2026 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/76/351(2)/3(5) of BNS, vide G.R. Case No. 383 of 2026.

Ld. Lawyer for the accused submits that no bail application has been filed/pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta. He also submitted that the petitioner is FIR named accused but he is innocent and committed no offence what so ever as alleged by the police. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case out of grudge and due to some ulterior motive and with some rivalry. The I.O submitted charge sheet against the petitioner u/s 329(3)/115(2)/118(2)/76/351(2)/3(5) of BNS. The petitioner is old aged person now aged about 71 years. Accordingly, Ld. Lawyer for the accused prays for bail on any terms and conditions.

Heard Ld. P.P. in-charge who raises objection.

Considered the submissions made by both the sides. Perused the T.C.R., F.I.R. and C.D. The application of anticipatory bail was earlier rejected. Ld. Advocate for the accused cited the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Ravindra Saxena Vs. State of Rajasthan* on 15 December, 2009, where in the Hon'ble Apex Court observed that in the similar situation, further prayer for anticipatory bail can be moved in view of change of circumstances. The case was started u/s 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/74/3(5) of BNS and the charge sheet has been submitted u/s 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/76/351(2)/3(5) of BNS. Change of circumstances not on lighter side. The present accused petitioner is the principal accused and he is 71 years old. Considering the gravity of the offence and other materials in CD, it appears that this is not a fit case to allow the anticipatory bail. Therefore, the prayer for anticipatory bail stands **rejected**.

The Criminal Misc. Case is, thus disposed of. Return the C.D.

Let a copy of this Order along with the T.C.R. be sent to the Learned C.J.M, Purulia, for information.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sessions Judge, Purulia
(I/C)

Sessions Judge, Purulia.
(I/C)

The victim committed suicide at her in-laws house. Perused the CD where from it appears that there was a relationship between the deceased and the victim. Perused the CDR there are several exchange of call between the parties but inspite of the direction upon the P.P. no copy of the chat has been produced before this Court. It is a case of abatement of suicide. There is allegation of blackmailing the victim over phone and social media etc.