

**S.C. (Spl.) No.21 of 18 (491 of 18)**

**30.07.22**

Today is fixed for hearing of the petition dated 04.05.22 along with the petition filed by the defacto complainant dated 01.07.22.

Ld. P.P., ld. Lawyer for the accused persons, ld. Lawyer for the defacto complainant are present.

All the six accused persons are present in court today.

The petition dated 01.07.22 is taken up for hearing first.

Ld. Lawyer for the defacto complainant submitted that the defacto complainant had informed the OC, Arsha PS as well as the Superintendent of Police, Purulia on 26.04.22 regarding a threatening given by the accused persons to the defacto complainant and the information report is very much necessary for the purpose of hearing of the petition for cancellation of bail dated 04.05.22 and hence the defacto complainant has prayed to call for the report from the OC, Arsha PS as well as Superintendent of Police, Purulia regarding the information given by defacto complainant dated 26.04.22.

Ld. P.P. submitted that he has no information about any such report if submitted by the defacto complainant to the OC, Arsha PS or SP, Purulia on 26.04.22 and did not support the prayer of the defacto complainant and also submitted that he has not received any such information also from the IO of this case.

Ld. Lawyers for the accused persons raised vehement objection submitting that it is only a retaliation regarding the counter case filed against the defacto complainant.

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

There is no document filed regarding the said averment of the defacto complainant that he has informed the matter to OC, Arsha PS as well as SP, Purulia on 26.04.22 and no such received copy of the said petition, if any, is filed before this court. More over, ld. P.P. submitted that there is no such information received by him either from the PS or from the IO.

**S.C. (Spl.) No.21 of 18 (491 of 18)**

Contd.....  
30.07.22

The incident happened in the year 2018 and the IO has not informed this court anything regarding the such matter of giving information of threatening to the defacto complainant against the accused persons.

Considering all these aspect, the prayer of the defacto complainant to call for report from OC, Arsha PS as well as SP, Purulia regarding information of defacto complainant dated 26.04.22 is rejected and this petition dated 01.07.22 is thus disposed of.

Thereafter, the petition dated 04.05.22 the cancellation of bail is taken up for hearing.

Heard ld. Lawyers for the accused persons and ld. P.P. and ld. Lawyer for the defacto complainant also.

At the time of hearing ld. Lawyer for the defacto complainant submitted that he would submit further regarding his prayer for cancellation of bail after receiving the report from OC, Arsha PS and SP, Purulia.

As this court has rejected the prayer to call for the report vide petition dated 01.07.22 let an opportunity to given to the ld. Lawyer for the defacto complainant to conclude the hearing on 03.08.22.

Dictated & Corrected by me

Addl. Sessions Judge  
1<sup>st</sup> Court, Purulia.

Addl. Sessions Judge  
1<sup>st</sup> Court, Purulia.