

Cross examination on behalf of defendant No.1.

36. The defendant No.1 and counsel are absent when called out repeatedly 12.50 pm. The bank officer is present since 11.00 am for cross-examination. But no adjournment application is placed on record. Hence, suit to proceed no-cross against defendant No.1.

Cross examination on behalf of defendant No.2, 3 and 5.

37. Suit proceeded ex-parte against defendants No. 2, 3 and 5. Hence, no-cross.

Cross examination of plaintiff witness Waman Pimple by Adv. Shri Joshi for defendant No.4 resumed on oath.

38. It is true to say that documents (Exh.41 to 51) does not bears signature of defendant No.4. I have no know that, defendant No.2 who is a partnership firm was dissolved on 18.07.2013.

Que. Whether defendant No. 4 has no concern with the present loan transaction ?

Ans. Loan was sanctioned earlier.

39. I have not filed any document to show that, defendant No.4 concerned with present loan transaction. It is true to say that, plaint does not bears my signature. Witness volunteers that, plaint bears the signature of the then Bank Officer. It is not true to say that, bank has filed false case against defendant No.4 and today I am deposing false.

Cross examination over.

Re-Examination Nil.

R. O. A. C.

sd/-

(Reena S. Rai)

16th Jt. CJSD & ACJM
Nagpur.

Date:23/04/2026.