

54 Ct. Cases 4076/2019

LEO ISPAT Vs. ADI AUTOMOTIVES PVT LTD

10.06.2026

Present: Mr. Himanshu Garg, Ld. Counsel for the complainant
alongwith AR.

Accused No. 1 is a company.

Mr. Rudraksh Nakra (8968686114), Ld. Counsel for the
accused Nos. 2 & 3 alongwith accused Nos. 2 & 3 through VC.

Proceedings qua accused No. 4 has been abated.

The Ld. Counsel for the accused seeks an adjournment
on the ground that the main counsel is unavailable due to being
occupied before another court and he is himself not keeping well.
Objected to by the Ld. Counsel for the Complainant on the ground of
undue delay.

The present complaint is around seven years old and is
lingering at the stage of CE since 21.12.2024.

The accused had a notice of three months for the court
date today and despite that has failed to make necessary
arrangements for the cross-examination. Notably, the request of
adjournment was also not intimated in advance to the opposite side.
Each adjournment causes a delay of six months in the case which is
supposed to be concluded in as speedy manner as per the scheme of
the NI Act.

Only considering the personal grounds of the Ld.
Counsel for the accused, one last opportunity is being granted to the
accused to cross examine the complainant, however, for the delay

caused today, the accused Nos. 2 & 3 are burdened with cost of Rs. 2,000/- each payable to the complainant.

To clarify, in all the 10 cases, a cumulative cost of Rs. 10,000/- was pending on the accused persons and with the cost of today, the cumulative cost on the accused persons in all 10 cases now stands at Rs. 50,000/-. Cost paid to the complainant today.

As a last opportunity, list for CE on 14.08.2026.

(TANMAY BATHAM)
JMFC (NI ACT)-03 (West)
THC, Delhi /10.06.2026