

31 CC NI ACT 2097/2022
SUNIL JAIN Vs. RACHIT MARWAH

18.04.2026

Present: Sh. Pankaj Paliwal, Ld. counsel for complainant through VC.
Sh. Atish Kumar, Ld. counsel for accused alongwith accused.

It is seen that the amount of the surety bonds was reduced from Rs. 25,000/- to Rs. 10,000/- vide order dated 17.11.2025 passed by Ld. Transferor Court.

Surety bonds furnished on behalf of accused in the sum of Rs. 10,000/-. Same are considered and accepted.

Notice under Section 251 CrPC have been served upon the accused to which the accused pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. Defence plea is also recorded.

In view of the facts of the case and defence raised, the undersigned deems it fit to try the present case as the summons trial case.

Oral request has been made by Ld. Counsel for accused for cross examination of the complainant u/s. 145(2) NI Act. Heard.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant states that she has no objection to the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the defence taken to the notice u/s 251 CrPC, it appears that the accused has a valid defence taken to make and in order to prove the same, cross examination of the complainant's witnesses is essential. **Therefore, on the oral request of the accused, plea of accused under Section 145(2) N.I. Act is allowed.**

Matter is now fixed for cross-examination of complainant.

Re-list for cross-examination of complainant on **23.09.2026**.

(Isha Singh)
JMFC-07/Shahdara/KKD
Delhi, 18.04.2026