

State VS Sandeep Singh

CHI/135/2017

Present: Sh. Hardip Singh APP for the State.

Accused alongwith counsel Sh. P.S. Dhaliwal Adv.

Challan presented before me being Illaqa Magistrate. Report of Ahalmad seen. It be registered. Copies of challan alongwith other documents as relied upon by the prosecution is supplied to accused free of cost as per section 207 Cr. PC. Counsel for the accused moved surrender and bail application on behalf of accused on ground that the offence committed by the accused is bailable one. Heard. As the offence committed by the accused is bailable one, so, no useful purpose would be served by sending the accused behind the bars. As such, accused Sandeep Singh is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in like amount. Bail/surety bonds furnished, which are accepted and attested.

Heard on the point of framing the charge against the accused. In view of the judgment of **Hon'ble Apex Court of India, cited in 2000(1) RCR(Crl.)407 in case titled as "Kanti Bhadra Shah VS. State of West Bengal" and the judgment of our Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, cited in 2006(2) RCR (Criminal) 569 in case titled as " Lakhbir Singh alias Billa Vs. State of Punjab"**, wherein his lordship held that detailed reason is not required to be given for framing charge, which is only required when charge is not to be framed and accused is to be discharged. Hence, from the documents on record, a prima-facie case against the accused for an offence punishable U/s 325/323 IPC is made out. Accused are charge sheeted accordingly, to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. Let PWs cited at Sr. No.1,3,6,7 be summoned for 05.09.17.

(Pradeep Synghal)
SDJM/Jgn.
(UID:PB0318)

Date of order:05.05.2017

Kashmiri Lal
Stenographer-III

Next Date:05.09.2017

Purpose:_____