

Criminal Misc. Case No. 255 of 2026

Order No. 02

23.03.2026

This is an application under Section 482 of BNSS, filed by the accused/petitioner namely; **Kuddus Ansary**, praying for anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest, in connection with Raghunathpur P.S Case No. 14 of 2024 dated 23.01.2024 under Sections 147/148/149/186/332/333/325/326/353/307/427 I.P.C, 9 of MPO Act and 3 of PDPP Act vide G.R. Case No. 49 of 2024 in which C.S has already been filed.

The prayer for anticipatory bail is moved by the Ld. Lawyer for the accused petitioner.

Ld. P.P. opposes the bail prayer.

Previously anticipatory bail of the present accused has been rejected by this Court and also by the Hon'ble High Court.

That factum of the rejection has not been stated in the present bail application under Section 482 BNSS.

In the instant case C.S has been submitted under Sections 147/148/149/186/332/333/325/326/353/307/427 I.P.C read with Section 9 of MPO Act and 3 of PDPP Act and in the instant case senior police official I.C, Raghunathpur has sustained serious injury and suppressing of the antecedent bail application, the present bail petition has been filed praying for anticipatory bail.

Considering the above facts and circumstances and suppression of the facts in the instant case I am not inclined to release the accused on bail. So the prayer for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

Return the C.D.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sessions Judge, Purulia

Sessions Judge, Purulia.
(J.O. Code-WB00587)

Later

23.03.2026

From the case record it appears that the present accused Kuddus Ansary, son of Lt. Siddik Ansary of village Lachmanpur, P.S. Raghunathpur, District Purulia voluntarily surrendered before the Court of Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur and prayed for bail by filing the bail petition along with Vokatnama on 12.03.2026 and he was taken into custody and remanded to J.C till 25.03.2026. Subsequently bail petition has 'not pressed' and accordingly rejected and the order has been passed to release the accused from Hazat and Hazat authorities has been informed accordingly.

One application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the said accused putting signature in the petition on 16.03.2206 shows that Kuddus Ansary put signature in front of his Ld. Advocate and as that signature is not verified by the Lock-up in-charge or jail authorities, it can easily be presumed that the said accused petitioner has not been confined in any correctional home on 16.03.2026.

The order dated 12.03.2026 shows that the accused was taken into custody and remanded to J.C till 25.03.2026 and since his bail petition has 'not pressed' he was supposed to detain in J.C.

Ld. Advocate who is moving the anticipatory bail submits that the said accused Kuddus Ansary has not been taken into custody by the Ld. ACJM,

Criminal Misc. Case No. 255 of 2026

Contd....

23.03.2026

Raghunathpur on 12.03.2026. The order dated 12.03.2026 passed by Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur shows that the accused has been released from Hazat at once and it appears that no bail order has been passed and no bail bond has been furnished.

Under the above facts and circumstances Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur is directed to give explanation as to why the accused has been released from Hazat without furnishing any bail bond and to satisfy under which provision of law Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur is entitled to release the accused without furnishing bail bond when he was taken into custody till 25.03.2026.

Since SIR duty was going on, Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur is given adequate time.

Fix 27.04.2026 for submitting explanation by the Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur.

Let a copy of this order along with T.C.R be sent to the Ld. ACJM, Raghunathpur at once for compliance.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sessions Judge, Purulia

Sessions Judge, Purulia.
(J.O. Code-WB00587)