

Sl. No.	In the Court of Vishal Srivastava, A.J.C.-VII, Ranchi B.P. No. 1805/2022		Office action taken with date
		(CNR No. JHRN-010112122022) Mohammad Sanjar @ Piyush Singh Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party Counsel for the Prosecution : Sri Ved Prakash, Ld. A.P.P. Counsel for the defence : Md. Arsad, Ld. Advocate	
05.11.2022		The regular bail petition dated 11.10.2022 filed on behalf of accused Ormanjhi P.S. Case No. 178/2020 who is in custody since 03.06.2022 in connection with Ormanjhi P.S. Case No. 178/2020, registered under Section 406/420/506/120-B IPC. Backdrop of the case is based on written statement of informant submitted therein that one Abhi Kumar @ Agendra Kumar Mandal is proprietor of A.K. Organization Company, whose marketing head was Piyush Singh. The branch office is situated in Priya Complex, Main Road, Ranchi and main office is situated in Hinoo. The written statement shows that both the above persons (petitioner and Abhi Kumar @ Agendra Kumar Mandal) were tenant in the house of informant and in due course they induced the informant to become partner in the above company. The informant on 19.12.2018 gave Rs. 7,96,722/- to both the accused persons, accordingly a partnership agreement paper was prepared. The agreement shows that except the above amount Rs. 23,40,000/- was also given to the accused persons. After that on the direction of the above both the accused persons, brother-in-law of informant deposited Rs. 63,300/- in the account of petitioner. As per allegation in-spite of the above the accused persons never made the informant as partner and gave threatening to him and switched off their mobile number. So this case has been filed. The learned advocate of the petitioner has submitted that the	

Sl. No.	In the Court of Vishal Srivastava, A.J.C.-VII, Ranchi B.P. No. 1805/2022	Office action taken with date
Cond... 05.11.2022	matter is civil in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2022. So it is prayed to be enlarged him on bail. The learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer of bail. Heard both sides, perused the case record and I find that in the case record the charge sheet has submitted against the petitioner as charge sheet no. 142/2022 dated 16.06.2022. Charge has been framed against two accused persons including petitioner and now the record is running on evidence. In the charge sheet there are nine witnesses cited but non examined. I have perused the entire case record and find that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner to receive Rs. 31,36,722/- from the informant on the basis of an agreement in between petitioner and informant. There is direct allegation against the petitioner that he committed fraud with the informant and switched off his mobile. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands rejected. (Dictated) (Vishal Srivastava) A.J.C.-VII, Ranchi ID No. 00487	