

JITO ADMINISTRATIVE TRAINING FOUNDATION Vs.
M/s. ETERNO CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD.

25.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sandeep Malik, Ld. Counsel for petitioner
(*Through v/c*).
Sh. Diwakar Singh, Ld. Counsel for respondent
through VC.

Details of computation filed on behalf of respondent. Ld. Counsel for the respondent submitted that the amount already deposited in Court may be released to the respondent as the statement to such effect has already been made by the petitioner's counsel on the last date of hearing without prejudice to the rights of the respondent.

In view of the statement recorded of the ld. counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no objection if the amount already deposited is released to the respondent, it is directed that the demand draft in the sum of Rs.36,96,351/- deposited by the petitioner be released to the respondent.

It emerges that the plaintiff had deposited Demand Draft in the sum of Rs.36,92,351/- in the Court along-with application on 4.5.2026, which was directed to be kept in the shape of an FDR with the SBI, Tis Hazari Court Branch subject to further directions of the Court. The DD however is lying on the record and has not been forwarded to the Bank for being converted into an FDR.

Ahlmad to explain why order dated 28.4.2026 was

not complied with.

The petitioner shall revalidate the DD and the DD shall be presented before the SBI, Tis Hazari Court Branch, and the Branch Manager, SBI, Tis Hazari Court Branch is directed to release the amount of DD No.502433 dated 04.05.2026 in favour of the petitioner ie. Jito Administrative Training Foundation.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner sought time for remaining payment.

For payment/consideration, put up on **07.8.2026**.

Dasti.

(Neelofer Abida Perveen)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Central District/THC/Delhi/25.07.2026