

16

Misc Crl. 975/2026

STATE Vs. Sheela Devi

FIR No. 80055111/2024

PS: Ambedkar Nagar

07.04.2026

Present: Sh. Gaurav Srivastava, Ld. APP for the State.
Sh. Manish Yadav, Ld. Counsel for applicant.

This is an application moved by applicant namely Smt. Sheela Devi seeking release of mobile phone make Oppo A96 on superdari.

Reply of concerned IO filed. As per the reply, IO has no objection to release of the said mobile phone as the same is no more required for further investigation and hence he has no objection if the above-said mobile phone is released to its actual/registered owner.

Heard. Perused.

Instead of releasing the mobile phone on superdari, this court is of the considered view that the seized mobile phone has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013** dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, the mobile phone in question be released to the applicant/registered owner by the IO upon being furnished with a security bond by the applicant / registered owner as per the valuation report of mobile phone and after preparation of panchnama and obtaining photographs of the mobile phone as

per the guidelines / directions provided for in the above cited judgments.

Copy of order be given dasti to the applicant.

Panchnama, photographs, valuation report and security bond shall be filed alongwith final report.

(ASHISH KUMAR MEENA)
JMFC-01, South, Saket Courts
07.04.2026