

**Ejectment Suit No. 07 of 2010 (Reg No.3603 of 2014)**  
**C.N.R No. WBPS01-000009-2010**

**Order No.89**

**Dated 08.09.2025 :**

Today is fixed for hearing of the petition dated 03.09.2025 filed by the defendant. Both the parties file separate attendance through their respective Ld. Advocates.

The record is taken up for hearing of the petition dated 03.09.2025.

Heard both sides. Perused the case record.

Considered.

The instant petition contemplates an Order permitting the defendant to complete the argument. It is submitted on behalf of the defendant that the date was fixed on 13.08.2025 for argument on behalf of the defendant but due to mis-posting the date was posted on 18.08.2025 for argument. For this reason on 13.08.2025 no steps could be taken on behalf of the defendant. There was no intentional latches on the part of the defendant.

Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff did not raise any objection against the prayer of the defendant. He only prayed for imposing of cost upon the defendant while allowing his prayer.

The record reveals that the defendant contested the suit throughout the period of trial and opened the argument on 22.07.2025. The previous conduct of the defendant suggests that he is interested in contesting the suit till the end. So the defendant should not be suffered due to mis-posting of diary by the Ld. Advocate.

Accordingly the prayer of the defendant is allowed.

Let the petition dated 03.09.2025 be disposed of.

Fix 18-11-2025 for further argument by the defendant.

Typed, Corrected and Printed by me.

Judge, Bench-4  
PSCC, Calcutta  
J.O Code WB01174

Judge, Bench-4  
PSCC, Calcutta  
J.O Code WB01174