

**MS (Com) –20/2025**

**Hindustan Steelworks Construction Limited Vs Anuska Engineering Works Private Limited**

**Present :: Ishani Chakravarty Banerjee(J.O Code.WB00890)  
Judge, Commercial Court at Rajarhat  
North 24 Parganas.**

**CNR: WBNP19-000062-2025**

**04**

**28.01.2026**

1. Today is fixed for hearing on the point of acceptance of the written statements filed by defendant Nos. 1 and 2, subject to compliance with the e-filing directions.
2. The learned Advocate for the plaintiff appears and files hazira.
3. The learned Advocate for defendant Nos. 1 and 2 appears and files hazira along with an adjournment petition praying for time on the grounds stated therein. Copy has been duly served, as revealed from the endorsement made on the petition.
4. Heard the learned Advocates for the respective parties. The learned Advocate for the plaintiff raises objection, contending that the written statements are deemed not to have been accepted as the same were not e-filed in compliance with the directions of this Court. It is categorically recorded in the order dated **27.11.2025** that since the written statements filed on that date had not been e-filed as per the mandatory online filing procedure, defendant Nos. 1 and 2 were directed to comply with the e-filing requirements and e-file the same within ten (10) days prior to the next date of hearing, failing which the documents would not be deemed to have been filed under any circumstances.

It is further submitted by the learned Advocate for the plaintiff that the written statement e-filed on **15.01.2026** is merely a replica of the written statement filed on **27.11.2025**. Since the original written statement was filed before this Court, there was no copy available with the defendants for e-filing, and accordingly, the defendants e-filed the same by affirming it on affidavit on a subsequent date. However, the learned Advocate for the defendants seeks liberty to place the same on record, if permitted by this Court. The learned Advocate for the plaintiff raises strong objection to such submission.

Subsequently, the learned Advocate for the defendants prays for an adjournment to address the issue on the next date, submitting that the learned Senior Advocate, **Mr. Arup Basak**, is unable to appear today due to the sudden demise of his mother.

In view of the above, the prayer for adjournment is considered and allowed.

5. Fix **05.03.2026** for hearing on the point of acceptance of the written statements filed by defendant Nos. 1 and 2, subject to compliance with the e-filing directions.

**Sd/- Ishani Chakravarty Banerjee**  
Judge  
Commercial Court,  
Rajarhat, North 24 Parganas