

BEFORE THE LOK ADALAT BENCH AT GAJAPATHINAGARAM.

Organised by Mandal Legal Services Committee, Gajapathinagaram)
Section 19 of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987

THIS IS THE 13th DAY OF August 2022

Presiding Officer of Lok Adalat Bench:

Ms. B. Ramakrishna Lakshmi, Junior Civil Judge-Cum-Chairman, Mandal Legal Services Committee, Gajapathinagaram.

Members Present at the Lok Adalat:

1. Sri K. Ramu Naidu Advocate, Gajapathinagaram
2. Sri L. Rambabu Advocate, Gajapathinagaram

Lok Adalat Case No. 110/22 in O.S.No. 67/2021

IN THE COURT OF THE JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, GAJAPATHINAGARAM

Between:

Chinta Satyam @ Satyanarayana
s/o Late Appala Swamy. Age 65 years
Poram village, Mentada Mandal ...Plaintiff

And
Potnuru. Ramalingeswara Rao,
s/o Late Atchum Naidu. age 50 years
Chinthadavalasa village.
Mentada MandalDefendant

The above suit is filed by the Plaintiff against the defendant for ~~recovery of money~~
and Plaint presented on ~~17.06.21~~.

Value for the purpose of the court fee and jurisdiction is ~~41,833/-~~ and Court fee of 2140/- is paid u/s. 20 of A.P.C.F & S.V.ACT.

This suit is coming on for final settlement in the presence in the presence of the plaintiff and defendants and ~~Sri L. Rambabu~~ Advocate for the plaintiff and ~~Sri. G. Vidyasagar~~ Advocate for the defendant after due mediation and conciliation between the parties.

Both parties to the suit have agreed for amicable settlement and filed terms of compromise with free will and volition. Hence, the following Award is passed as per the settlement arrived by the parties. This suit was referred to Lok Adalat at the request of both parties by the Junior Civil Judge, Gajapathinagaram.

AWARD UNDER SECTION 21 OF THE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY ACT 1987 & AMENDMENT ACT, 1994.

Plaintiff and the defendant present and counsel for both parties are present. At the instance of the Adalat both parties compromised with the following terms.

AWARD

1. The defendant while expressing his inability to pay the entered debt due on this suit promissory note agreed to pay an amount of Rs.30,000/- Rupees thirty thousand only towards full and final settlement of the debt.
2. And the plaintiff agreed to accept the same and accordingly this defendant paid the amount and plaintiff received the same.
3. Both the parties agreed that there will be no future claims in between them in respect of the debt covered by the above suit and ~~this suit may be dismissed~~ both the parties prays the Honourable court may be to pass award accordingly in the interest of justice.

Both parties agreed for the above terms of compromise.

Award is passed accordingly in terms of the compromise.

The Court fee paid in this case shall be refunded to the plaintiff(S) in the manner provided under their Court Fees Act 1870 as provided for under sub Section(L) 21 r/w section 25 of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 and Amendment Act, 1994.

Both the parties have affixed their signatures in evidence of their consent for the terms of award.


Signature of Plaintiff



Advocate of Plaintiff



Signature of Defendant


Advocate of Defendant

(Given under our hands and the seal of Lok Adalat on this the 13th day of August 2022, Gajapathinagaram).

MEMBERS OF THE BENCH

1. 

2. 

PRESIDING OFFICER OF THE BENCH


Chairman,
Mandal Legal Services Committee,
Gajapathinagaram