

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Wednesday, the 24th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. No. 2319 / 2026

1. M. Saraswathi(A1), aged 44/26, D/o. Mani
2. Yuvarani(A2), aged 25/26, D/o. Maduraiveeran ...Petitioners
Vs.

Inspector of Police,
Woraiyur P.S., Trichy in Cr.No. 157/2026 ...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the appearance, on behalf of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, who is the Public Prosecutor for the State represents by his office and of advocate Thiru. V. Sathasivam, for the petitioners and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail filed u/s. 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioners for the offences u/s. 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023. The occurrence happened on 27.04.2026.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any such offence and after the completion of the tenancy period, the petitioners wanted to shift the house and also, requested to repay the advance amount but the defacto complainant did not give the advance amount and threatened and dismantled the motor bump set and water connection pipes along with some other persons and so, the petitioners gave a complaint against the defacto complainant in Cr.No.156/2026

of the respondent police and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioners are tenants of the defacto complainant and they neither gave rent nor vacate the house and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and the investigation is pending and hence objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that the petitioners are the tenants of the defacto complainant and the petitioners did not pay the rent for the past 8 months and in this regard, the defacto complainant gave a complaint against the petitioners and when the defacto complainant repaired the motor, the petitioners threatened her not to come there and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. The occurrence happened on 27.04.2026. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with following conditions;

1. The petitioners/accused shall in the event of arrest by the respondent police or on their surrender before the Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tiruchirappalli on or before 08.07.2026 be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police Officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tiruchirappalli.
2. The petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M., for a period of 30 days.
3. The petitioners/accused shall make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.

4. The petitioners/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.
5. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
6. The petitioners/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
7. The learned Magistrate/Trial court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners/accused were released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.
8. If the petitioners/accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 24th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police, Woraiyur P.S., Tiruchirappalli.