

IN THE COURT OF THE VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. S. Gopinathan, B.Sc., B.L.,
II Additional District Judge,
Vacation Sessions Judge, Tiruchirappalli.**

Thursday, the 07th day of May 2026
Cr.M.P. No. 1762/2026

Prabu @ Babloo, aged 42/26, S/o.Jayaraj

...Petitioner

Vs.

Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham P.S., Trichy Cr. No. 39/2026

...Respondent

This petition coming before me, for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. B.L. Augstin, for the petitioner and after hearing both sides and considering the necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for bail filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered for the offences u/s.194(3) of BNSS. Subsequently, the sections were altered as u/s.103(1), 61(1)(a), 238 and 49 of BNS. The occurrence happened on 12.02.2026. As per the reply given by the respondent police, the petitioner is arrayed as A1 in this case. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 19.02.2026 onwards.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court on 18.03.2026 in CrI.M.P. No.1159/2026 and hence prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that it is a case of murder and the petitioner is a history sheeter and out of 19 cases, 4 previous cases are pending against him under various offences and the petition in CrI.M.P.No.617/2026 for seeking police custody is pending before the concerned Magistrate Court and also, the petitioner has been detained under the Goondas Act as per the order passed by the District Collector and District Magistrate, Tiruchirappalli District on 17.03.2026 in Cr.M.P. No.24/2026 and the investigation is pending and objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Both side heard. Records perused. On perusal of records, it is alleged that the defacto complainant is a Village Administrative Officer. Upon receiving an information, the defacto complainant went to the spot and enquired that the deceased was the husband of the 3rd accused and he is a drunkard and it was found that some wounds were found in the body of the deceased and suspected his death and after lodging complaint by the defacto complainant, the investigation was conducted by the respondent police and it is alleged that the 3rd accused and the 1st accused are relatives and because of the relationship between them and the habit of consuming alcohol of the deceased, on the instigation of the 3rd accused, the accused 1 and 2 murdered the deceased who is the husband of the 3rd accused. It is stated that there are 3 accused in this case and the 3rd accused was already released on bail by this court. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the detention order was already revoked and also, the custodial interrogation was completed. The occurrence happened on 12.02.2026. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 19.02.2026 onwards. By this time, the investigation is almost completed and the petitioner is in custody almost 80 days and the custodial interrogation is completed and considering the the above said facts, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail with the following conditions ;

1. The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on executing his own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai.
2. The petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily twice at 10.00 AM., and 5.00 PM., until further orders.
3. The petitioner/accused shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
4. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. The Magistrate/Trial court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner/accused was released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in

P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.

7. If the petitioner/accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, this the 07th day of May 2026.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Puthanatham PS, Tiruchirappalli.