

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KHED-RAJGURUNGAR,
PUNE

Order below Exh.1

In

Cri. Bail Application No. 84 of 2026
(CNR. No. MHPU21-000224-2026)

Vishal Manik Raut

... Accused/Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra
Through Chakan police station

... Complainant

Appearances:

Ld. APP Shri. S. S. Wagh for State.

Ld. Adv. Shri. H. P. Pawar for applicant/accused

Ld. Adv. Shri. Gourav Korade for intervener

JUDGE : SHRI A.S. SAYYAD
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

DATE : MARCH 31, 2026

(DICTATED AND PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT)

:ORDER:

Apprehending the arrest in connection with C. R. No. 168 of 2025 registered with Chakan police station, for the offence punishable U/Ss. 406 and 420 r/w. 34 of the Indian Penal Code, of the applicant, preferred the present application u/s. 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short 'B.N.S.S.').

2. Perused the application and reply of prosecution thereof. Heard both the sides at length of considerable time.

3. **Background facts -**

The informant Sanjay Tulshiram Landage lodged FIR with Chakan police station alleging therein that incident in question took place from period 11/12/2018 to 16/02/2024. According to informant, the present applicant along with other co-accused in furtherance of their common intention, committed criminal breach of trust and cheating with him and defrauded 96.1 gms gold worth of Rs. 4,50,000/-. Hence, this report.

4. The prosecution strongly opposed to this application vide reply Exh. 04. According to prosecution, nature of offence is serious. There is an active involvement of the applicant in the alleged crime. There is a racket of the applicant and his associates to commit as such many offences. In case the applicant is granted bail, he will not co-operate to investigation and will destroy the prosecution evidence. The prosecution therefore, prayed for rejection of application.

5. Having heard both the sides the length of considerable time, I have carefully gone through application, FIR and reply of investigating officer. It appears that the applicant committed cheating and criminal breach of trust of the informant in respect of 96.1 gm gold and with other things. On careful perusal of application, reply and some papers, it appears that prima facie there is clinching material to show the complicity of the present applicant in the alleged crime. Criminal history of the applicant appears to be very poor as multiple similar offences and other offences appears to be registered against the applicant with various Police Stations. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the applicant is not deserve for extra ordinary relief of anticipatory bail. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

1. Application is rejected.
2. The bail application No. 84/2026 is disposed off accordingly.
3. Inform the concerned police station, accordingly.

Khed.
Date : 31/03/2026

(A. S. Sayyad)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file Judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno	Mrs. Pranjali Prasad Bokil Stenographer Grade I
Name of Court	Shri. A. S. Sayyad District Judge 1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.
Date of Order	31/03/2026
Order signed by PO on	31/03/2026
Order uploaded on	01/04/2026