

S.T. 1(5)2015
Present – Shri Sanjay Naskar Ld.P.O
J.O Code (UID No) WB01025

Order no. 108
Dated, 04.03.2025

Accused Anukul Patra is present. Rest accused are absent and represented u/s 317 of Cr.P.C. Prosecution has filed a petition praying for necessary order for examining the witness PW-8, Pradip Patra.

Ld. P.P. in charge has submitted that the name of the PW-8 has been recorded as Pradip Patra instead of Prabir Patra. The mistake occurred due to wrong mentioning the name of the said witness in the charge sheet. But facts remains Pradip Patra and Prabir Patra are same and identical. Prabir Patra was present before the court on 27.02.2025 but due to mismatching his name with PW-8, he was not examined. So, he prays for necessary order treating Pradip Patra and Prabir Patra as same and identical and to examine Prabir Patra as PW-8.

Ld. Advocate for the accused raised objection.

Having heard and on perusal of the record, it appears that there is no document in support of the submission of Ld. P.P. in charge. There is serious doubt as to the name of Prabir Patra and Pradip Patra to be same. So, this court is inclined to reject the instant petition.

Prosecution is directed to produce next set of witness on the date fixed.

Prosecution is at liberty to produce the witness PW-8 at any stage with proper document before pronouncing judgement.

To 21.04.2025 for evidence of CSW-6,7,8.

22.04.2025 for evidence of CSW- 12, 14.

D/C

Addl. Sessions Judge
Fast Track 3rd Court, Basirhat

