

MHRG040003992013	Civil M. A. No. - 92/2013
	Smt. Parvati Jagannath Kadu Vs Harishchandra Krushna Patil - 2

ORDER BELOW EXH. 89

01. Perused application and say. Heard Ld Advocate for both parties. This application is filed by applicant for permission to adduce additional evidence. She contended that the main application is amended and therefore in view of amended application it is necessary to adduce additional evidence.

02. Respondents filed their say and opposed application. They contended that the applicant has already filed her additional evidence on affidavit at Exh. 78 and therefore this application is not tenable. Hence, they prayed for rejection of application.

03. Perusal of record shows that the main application is amended and the parties are added as well as some amendment is carried out in respect of claim. Though it is contended by respondents that additional evidence is already filed on record by applicant but perusal of exhibit 78 shows that after filing said affidavit, further examination in chief is not recorded till today. Considering this fact and the amendment in main application, it is necessary to grant permission to applicant to adduce additional evidence. No prejudice will be caused to respondents as they will get opportunity to cross examine witness. Hence, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. The application is allowed and applicant is permitted to adduce additional evidence in continuation of **Exh. 78**.

Date : 13/08/2026
Place : Panvel

(R. Y. Khandare)
5th Joint Civil Judge; Sr. Div,
Panvel.