



Cri. Bail Application No. 84/2026

(CNR No.: MHPU14-000217-2026)

Balu Janardhan Bhise & Ors.

Vs.

State of Maharashtra through

Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist.Pune

ORDER BELOW EXH. 1

1. The applicants/accused No.1 **Balu Janardhan Bhise**, applicant no.3/accused no.2. **Rajendra @ Pinu Janardan Bhise** and applicant no.2/accused no.3. **Akshay Balu Bhise** have moved this application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 as they are apprehending their arrest on an accusation in Crime No. **06/2026** for the offences punishable under Section **118(1), 115(2), 189(2), 190, 191(1), 191(2), 193(3), 351(2), 351(3)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, registered with Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist. Pune.

2. **The allegations in the FIR are summarized as under:**

The mother of informant is village Sarpanch of village – Katewadi, Tal. Baramati, Dist. Pune. On 02/01/2025 at about 11.00 am informant Sagar Dada Bhise and Dy. Sarpanch Mr. Milind Kate, member of Grampanchayat Ajit Kate and villagers Dhiraj Ghule, Anand More, Ganesh More went at Sathenagar, where the work of main road was restrained by Bapu Bhise. After reaching their the informant, Dy. Sarpanch and other persons accompanied with them, gave understanding to Bapu Bhise and thereafter, the work of main road was started. When he was returning back to his house, accused no. 1 came there and told to the informant that, his mother is asking people to left garbage at the open space owned by accused no.1. He started uttering abuses to informant and his

mother. Informant asked why he is uttering abuses, on which accused no.1 picked up wooden log and started assaulting informant. At that time, accused no.2, accused no.3 and other two unknown persons came there, they also assaulted informant by means of fist and kick blows. Dy. Sarpancha and other villagers accompanied with informant, rescued him from the clutches of accused. Ganesh More sustained injuries in said scuffle when rescuing the quarrel. Accordingly, he lodged report to police station. On that basis, above referred FIR has been registered against the accused.

3. The applicants/accused no. 1 to 3 apprehending their arrest therefore, filed this application for grant of anticipatory bail on the grounds raised in their application.

4. The prosecution has strongly resisted the application by filing its reply at **Exh.5**. Contents in it are nothing but replica of contents of FIR. Lastly, urged for rejecting the application.

5. Heard arguments of both sides. The Learned counsel for the applicants/accused submitted that, the informant is having political influence and political connections with political leaders. By misusing his power he has registered the offence against these accused. The maximum punishment is provided for the offence under Section 118(1) of B.N.S. is upto imprisonment for 5 years. The accused no.1 has filed counter FIR no. 4/2026. He has attended police station, co-operated investigation agency. He is local resident. He will not flee from justice. He is ready to obey the

conditions. There is delay of one day in lodging FIR. It has not been explained. If the applicants/accused have been arrested, they would suffer unnecessary harassment at the hands of police. They have no criminal antecedents. No custodial interrogation is required. Hence, urged for granting bail.

6. Learned A.P.P. submitted that, offence is serious one. Investigation is in progress. There is material on record regarding their complicity in this crime. Informant and accused resident of same locality. There is possibility of repetition of crime. There is possibility of tampering prosecution evidence. Thus, as per his submission, it is not fit case to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail.

7. On hearing submissions of both sides, I have evaluated copy of FIR. I also gone through the reply filed by prosecution at Exh.5 and also other documents placed by the prosecution. I also gone through the documents placed on record by the applicants/accused. The delay of one day is not explained by the prosecution. The contents of FIR and statements of witnesses shows complicity of applicants/accused in the crime registered against them. The injury certificates placed on record shows that, informant has sustained simple injuries, blunt trauma. The maximum punishment provided for the offence under Section 118(1) is upto five years. Hence, no custodial interrogation is required. The accused are having no criminal antecedents. They are local resident. There is no possibility of fleeing the accused from justice. Hence, as per guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court time to time, particularly in case of Satender Kumar Antil vs

Central Bureau Of Investigation, SLP (Crl) No. 5191/2021 on 21 January, 2025. In such cases the accused are required to be released on bail. Hence, this court is of the view that, considering nature of offence registered against the accused, benefit of anticipatory bail is required to be extended by imposing conditions on them. In the result, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Bail Application No.84/2026 (**Exh.1**) is allowed.
2. In the event of arrest of the applicants/accused No. 1 **Balu Janardhan Bhise, applicant no.3/accused no.2. Rajendra @ Pinu Janardan Bhise and applicant no.2/accused no.3. Akshay Balu Bhise**, they be released on bail in connection with Crime No. 06/2026, for the offence punishable under Section 118(1), 115(2), 189(2), 190, 191(1), 191(2), 193(3), 351(2), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, registered with Baramati Taluka Police Station, Dist. Pune, on execution of P.R. in the sum of **Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) each** and on furnishing surety like amount by **each of them** on following conditions :
 - [i] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall attend the Baramati Taluka Police Station once in a week on every Sunday in between **11.00 A.M. to 2.00 P.M. till filing of charge-sheet/final report.**
 - [ii] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall not temper with prosecution evidence or pressurize the informant and witnesses in any manner whatsoever.
 - [iii] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall give declaration of place of their residence and shall file the proof of residence, both present and permanent on record.
 - [iv] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall not leave the jurisdiction of this Court without prior permission.
 - [v] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise

to any person including informant, victim and witnesses acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer.

[vi] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall not indulge in any criminal activities.

[vii] Applicants/accused no.1 to 3 shall comply with Chapter-I, Paragraphs 1 to 6 of the Criminal Manual, 1980. (In view of order of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court passed in Criminal Application No.28 of 2010 in Public Interest Litigation No. 25 of 2010 on January 29, 2020.

3. If breach of the any of the above conditions committed, then investigating officer is as liberty to move application for cancellation of bail of Applicants/accused No. 1 to 3
4. Interlocutory application pending if any, stands disposed of.
5. The bail application is accordingly disposed of.
6. Intimate to the concerned police station accordingly.

Baramati.
Date : 12/02/2026.

[B. D. Shelke]
Additional Sessions Judge,
Baramati, Dist. Pune

C E R T I F I C A T E

I affirm that the contents of this P. D. F. file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Name of the Steno	:-	Shri. V. D. Khirid, Stenographer (Grade-I)
Name of the Court	:-	Shri. B. D. Shelke, District Judge- 2 & Additional Sessions Judge, Baramati, Dist. Pune.
Date of Order	:-	12/02/2026
Order checked & signed by presiding officer on	:-	12/02/2026
Order uploaded on	:-	12/02/2026