

Matrimonial suit no. 285 of 2023

Order no. 26 dated 18.05.2026.

Today is fixed for DW as special chance i.e. argument.

One application filed by the respondent praying for further time for filing the deposition on chief of the next witnesses.

Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioner raised strong objection. At the time of hearing none appears for the respondent on repeated calls. It is reflected from the case record that several adjournments were allowed to the respondent in this case but in spite of that the respondent failed to conclude her side evidence. Ld. Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner oppose the prayer of the respondent and submitted that the respondent is taking so many adjournments in this case and giving further adjournment will be wastage of judicial hour and further submitted for rejection of the time petition. Since the respondent or her lawyer is found absent on repeated calls, I think that giving further time would be of no meaning. Sufficient adjournment has given to the respondent in this case for bringing witness. In this situation prayer for further time for adducing more Dws is rejected.

To 09.06.2026 for hearing argument of the parties to this case.

D/c by

ADJ, FTC-II, Bongaon,
Dist. North 24 Pgs.

ADJ, FTC-II, Bongaon,
Dist. North 24 Pgs.