

SC No. 100 of 2025

CNR WBNP06000682-2025

Order No. 38

Date: 30/01/2026.

All ten accused are produced from judicial Custody. Ld. Spl PP files hazira of one witness namely Joy Banerjee. Ld. Advocate Joydeb Halder filed vakalatnama for the accused Subrata Bala and submitted that previously inadvertently he didn't sign the vakalatnama for the said accused although he is conducting the case for the said accused. Let the Vakalatnama filed for the accused Subrata Bala be kept with case record.

Two bail application filed on behalf of the accused Suman Biswas and Arindam Biswas in this case. Today is also fixed for hearing of bail application for the accused Subrata Bala. It is now 04.00 p.m ld. Advocate Sri Mukul Biswas is absent. One ld. Advocate Sri Arindam Sarkar submitted that he is unable to contact with conducting counsel Sri Mukul Biswas and necessary order may be passed.

At this stage ld. Advocate Sri Joydeb Halder for the accused persons submitted for taking the case for hearing of bail applications. Accordingly, he submitted that accused **Subrata Bala** is not FIR named accused. In a case at Chandannagar Court he has already got default bail by the ld. Court. In this case I.O. made prayer for shown arrest of the said accused and reseized the seizure list of the Chandannagar Court case, but so far there is no seizure from the accused Subrata Bala in this case as it appears from the charge sheet. In reply ld. Spl PP objected the prayer very strongly and submitted that accused Subrata Bala is one of the directors of Deylimate Tec. Pvt. Ltd company and in total more than four crores and 82 lakhas amount was fraud by the said company by using the bank account of Axis Bank and if bail is granted there is every possibility that witness will be tempered.

ld. Advocate Sri Joydeb Halder for the accused **Suman Biswas** submitted that this accused is also not FIR named accused and only two mobile phone was seized from him and from the evidence recorded so far there is no direct allegation against him and he is not involved in this case.

He has already got regular bail from the case pending at Barasat. Moreover, he has already withdrawn himself from the directorship of the alleged company w.e.f. 29/09/2022. He is not connected with any transaction made thereafter. Ld. Spl PP oppose the prayer very strongly and submitted that he is one of the directors of Techopedia Pvt. Ltd and Techboo Pvt. Ltd and from one of the bank accounts of the said company in ICICI Banks in total more than 22 cores rupees transaction was held and in another ICICI Bank account more than 02 crores amount was dealt with. If bail is granted, he may temper or win-over the witnesses. Relevant witnesses are yet to be examined in this case.

Ld. Advocate Sri Joydeb Halder also prayed for bail of the accused **Arindam Biswas** and submitted that this accused is also not FIR named accused and he was a Bank employee and only one mobile phone was seized from him and he is not a director. He further submitted that from the deposition of the witnesses it can be seen that Bank witness stated that without customers consent no transaction can be made by any Bank Staff. His duty was only to open the Bank account and if bail is granted, he will comply all condition of bail. In Reply ld. Spl.PP submitted that this accused has helped the principle accused Subrata Mondal and he has assisted the said principle accused to open multiple bank accounts in his bank in the name of Subrata Mondal and his associates. The role of this accused can be seen from the forthcoming witnesses and also from the evidence of I.O. He further submitted that not being FIR named by itself can't be a ground for bail in such multi crore rupees fraud *via* gambling apps. **He said the fraud amount of this case is more or less Rs.350 crores.** So, ld. Spl PP Oppose the bail prayer of all the accused in this case.

Thus, considering the submission of the accused lawyer and also the submission of the ld. Spl. P.P. I am of the view that trial has just begun and there are several other vital witnesses yet to be examined in this case. In total there are more than 50 witnesses in the charge sheet. There are enough materials in the case record against the accused persons. In this situation at this stage bail can't be granted to any of the accused persons today. So, bail prayer is considered and rejected.

In the mildest of bail petition hearing ld. Advocate Sri Mukul Biswas appeared and thereafter witness Joy Banerjee is examined as PW-12 and partly cross examined by the defence Advocate Sri Mukul Biswas. It is now 06.30 p.m. At this stage ld. Advocate Sri Mukul Biswas submitted that further time is necessary for cross examination of the said witnesses since prosecution filed one document (certificate) through this witness today and he needs time for preparation. Ld. Spl. PP oppose the time prayer. Thus, considering all aspect for the end of justice time is granted to the defence. Case is adjourned today.

Prosecution filed and marked Document Exbt. P31 (Coll)/ Exbt. P31/1 (Coll)/ Exbt. P31/2 Exbt. P-32(coll) / Exbt. P-32/1(Coll)/ Exbt. P-33(Coll)/ and /Exbt. P-33/1(with objection).

Thereafter consecutive date is fixed for further evidence and date is fixed in consultation with both parties.

To 12/03/2026 for Cross examination of PW-12

To 16/03/2026 For evidence of CSW No.21

To 23/03/2026 For evidence of CSW No.22

Superintendent correctional Home is directed to produce the accused persons next date before the court positively. Requisite at once.

Both parties are directed to come ready on the fixed dates for evidence sharp at 02.00 p.m.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Court-II, Bongaon,
North 24 Parganas