

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Tuesday, the 16th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. Nos. 2120 / 2026, 2162/2026 and 2179/2026

Rajesh @ Rajeshkanna, aged 21/26, S/o.Murugan

...Petitioner in Crl.M.P. No. 2120/2026

S. Manikandan, aged 26/26, S/o. Senthil

...Petitioner in Crl.M.P. No.2162/2026

Vs.

Inspector of Police,

Thiruverumbur P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 193/2026

...Respondent

Sheik Alavudeen, S/o. Sahul Ameer

...Petitioner/intervener in Crl.M.P. No.2179/2026

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. V. Anthony Prabakaran, for the petitioner in Crl.M.P. No. 2120/2026 and of advocate Thiru. A. Dharmaraj, for the petitioner in Crl.M.P. No. 2162/2026 and of advocate Thiru. S. Manivannan, for the petitioner in Crl.M.P. No. 2179/2026 after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

COMMON ORDER

These 2 applications for bail filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioners and other accused for the offences u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS and subsequently, the sections were altered as u/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109 and 351(3) of BNS. The occurrence happened on 15.05.2026. The petitioners are A3 and A2 in this case. The petitioners have been in judicial custody from 19.05.2026 and 17.05.2026 onwards, respectively.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any such offence and no previous case of similar nature is pending against the petitioners and prays to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the left eye of the injured was affected and he has taken the treatment continuously and no previous case is pending against the 3rd accused and one previous case is pending against the 2nd accused and the investigation is pending and objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The defacto complainant filed an intervening petition through his counsel and submitted that the left eye of his son was severely affected and the petitioner and other accused were attacked his son and caused grievous injuries to him and if the petitioner is let on bail, he would tamper the case and seriously objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

Both side heard and also, the intervenor heard. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that usually, the son of the defacto complainant stopped his vehicle near Venugopal Nagar and on the occurrence date, when the son of the defacto complainant returned from his trip, he saw that the 1st accused's vehicle was stopped there and hence, he stopped his vehicle near it and after that the 1st accused made a call and scolded him in filthy language and threatened to destroy the vehicle and after hearing that, the defacto complainant and his son went to the place where the vehicle was stopped and on seeing them, the 1st accused attacked his son with stone on his left eye and the 2nd accused attacked his son with wooden log on his back side and the petitioner who is the 3rd accused and other accused attacked his son with hands and legs and gave life threats by showing the stone and wooden log and caused injuries to him. The occurrence happened on 15.05.2026. The petitioners have been in judicial custody from 19.05.2026 and 17.05.2026 onwards. Considering the overt act against the petitioners and the 3rd accused attacked the victim with hands and also, considering the number of days in prison of the petitioners and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail with the following conditions ;

1. The petitioners/accused are ordered to be released on bail on executing their own bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Tiruchirappalli.
2. The petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M., until further orders.
3. The petitioners/accused shall make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.

4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioners/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. The Magistrate/Trial court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioners/accused were released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.
7. If the petitioners/accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

The intervening petition in CrI.M.P. No.2179 /2026 is allowed.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 16th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police, Thiruverumbur P.S., Tiruchirappalli.