

Criminal Misc. Case No.2543 of 2026

Present: Sukumar Ray

J.O. CODE NO.WB00590.

Sessions Judge, North 24-Parganas

Order No. 02 dated 14.08.2026

1. In this criminal Misc. case under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surakhsha Sanhita, 2023 the accused/petitioner namely, **Debranjana Adhikari** who apprehends his arrest in connection with G.R no.3295 of 2026 arising out of Sandeshkhali Police Station case No.324/2026 dated 02.06.2026 under sections 303(2)/316(2)/317(2) of B.N.S has come up with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. At the very outset, Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that no application for bail has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court.

3. Ld. Advocate draws my attention to the paragraph no 5 of the application under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surakhsha Sanhita, 2023.

4. Ld. Public prosecutor submits that there is no indication in the case diary which goes to suggest that prayer for anticipatory bail for the accused/petitioner has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court or is pending before the Hon'ble Court for disposal.

5. Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that the accused person is innocent and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that no such incident as alleged ever took place as described in the F.I.R and the accused/petitioner had no role in the alleged offence. He further submits that the allegations levelled against the accused persons are not only preposterous but also inherently improbable. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail on any condition.

6. Ld. Public Prosecutor namely Sri Subrata Sarkar opposes the anticipatory bail prayer of these accused/petitioner on the ground that there is direct and specific allegations against the accused/petitioner.

7. I have carefully perused the F.I.R, contents of the case record as well as case diary particularly the statements of the witnesses recorded under section 180 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

8. Considering the materials appearing in the case diary and the role of the accused/petitioner in the alleged offence in question, I do not find any just cause to liberate the accused/petitioner on pre-arrest bail.

09. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioner namely **Debranjana Adhikari** stands rejected.

10. Case diary be returned at once.

11. Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent down to the Court concerned.

12. Misc. case is disposed of.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Sessions Judge, North 24 Parganas, Barasat

Sessions Judge,

North 24 Parganas, Barasat