

Criminal Misc. Case No.2542 of 2026

Present: Sukumar Ray

J.O. CODE NO.WB00590.

Sessions Judge, North 24-Parganas

Order No. 02 dated 14.08.2026

1. In this criminal Misc. case under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surakhsha Sanhita, 2023 the accused/petitioners namely, **Pronab Mallick and Mina Mallick Biswas @ Mina Mallick @ Mina Biswas** who apprehend their arrest in connection with G.R no.3912 of 2026 arising out of Swarupnagar Police Station case No.305/2026 dated 29.06.2026 under sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/74/76/351(3)/3(5) of B.N.S have come up with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. At the very outset, Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that no application for bail has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court.

3. Ld. Advocate draws my attention to the paragraph no 6 of the application under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surakhsha Sanhita, 2023.

4. Ld. Public prosecutor submits that there is no indication in the case diary which goes to suggest that prayer for anticipatory bail for the accused/petitioners has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court or is pending before the Hon'ble Court for disposal.

5. Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that the accused persons are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that no such incident as alleged ever took place as described in the F.I.R and the accused/petitioners had no role in the alleged offence. He further submits that the petitioners have complied with the notices under Section 35(3) of B.N.S.S Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail on any condition.

6. Ld. Public Prosecutor namely Sri Ashoke Kumar Majumder opposes the anticipatory bail prayer of these accused/petitioners.

7. I have carefully perused the F.I.R, contents of the case record as well as case diary particularly the statements of the witnesses recorded under section 180 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

8. On careful perusal of the case record and the case diary, I get to gather that there is direct and specific allegation against the accused/petitioner no.1, **Pronab Mallick**. Considering the materials appearing in the case diary, I do not find any just cause to liberate the accused/petitioner no.1 namely **Pronab Mallick**.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioner no.1 namely **Pronab Mallick** stands rejected.

10. Considering the materials appearing in the case diary in respect of the accused/petitioner no.2 namely **Mina Mallick Biswas @ Mina Mallick @ Mina Biswas**, it appears that if the accused/petitioner no. 2 namely **Mina Mallick Biswas @ Mina Mallick @ Mina Biswas** be liberated on pre-arrest bail, the investigation will not be hampered.

11. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioner namely **Mina Mallick Biswas @ Mina Mallick @ Mina Biswas** stands allowed.

12. In the event of arrest, the accused/petitioner namely **Mina Mallick Biswas @ Mina Mallick @ Mina Biswas** be enlarged on bail of Rs.4,000/- with two sureties of Rs.2,000/- each of like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition as enumerated in section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a condition that she shall appear before the jurisdictional Court and pray for regular bail within a period of four weeks from date.

13. Case diary be returned at once.

14. Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent down to the Court concerned.

15. Misc. case is disposed of.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Sessions Judge,
North 24 Parganas, Barasat

Sessions Judge, North 24 Parganas, Barasat