

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE IN CHARGE,  
NORTH 24 PARGANAS**

Present: **Sri S.Bhattacharyya (WB-00726)**

**Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.**

**Criminal Misc. Case No.2519 of 2026**

**Md. Kamaluddin Mondal** V/s The state.

**Order no. 02 dated 17.07.2026:**

The instant application u/s. 483 of B.N.S.S filed by the petitioner, **Md.**

**Kamaluddin Mondal** in c/w Deganga P.S. case No.159/2026 dated 22.03.2026 u/s. 85/80/103(1)/238 of B.N.S and 3/4 of D.P. Act is taken up for hearing and order.

T.C.R. has been received. C.D is produced.

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner accused and the learned P.P In-charge.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been languishing in custody since 22.03.2026 I.e for about 117 days I.e for a considerable period of time. He further submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that no such incident as alleged ever took place and the investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has been submitted and as such there is no justification for custodial interrogation of the present petitioner. In view of that, there is no need for further custodial detention and at this stage, he may be enlarged on bail under any conditions. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is a local person who will not abscond and he is ready to face the trial diligently and considering the same in any stringent terms and condition, the acdd may be released on bail. He further submits that no such application of this petitioner has either been moved before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court on any previous occasion. Hence, he prays for grant of bail of the accused petitioner on any condition as deemed fit.

Ld. P.P in charge raises objection and submits that the statements recorded under Section 180 of B.N.S.S and P.M report are lying with the C.D. He also submits that the entire allegation is against the accused/petitioner. He also submits that bail prayer was rejected after submission of chargesheet.

Ld. Counsel for the defacto complainant with the consent of the ld P.P in charge appears by filing vokalatnama and he also raises objection.

Hd. Perused. Considered.

Petitioner is the husband of the victim. Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioner has submitted about the grounds of his prayer like long detention of the acdd person, completion of investigation in the mean time.

On perusal of the materials available before me, I find that these grounds are true, but also find the gravity of the offence alleged against the petitioner which is no less important in this case. To my mind, petitioner's release may render him opportunity to influence the witnesses and to evade the process of trial which may jeopardize the case. Hence his prayer for bail is rejected.

T.C.R. be returned.

Let a copy of this order be tagged with the case record.

Let copies of this order be sent to the concerned P.S.

Dictated & corrected by me.

**Sri S.Bhattacharyya,  
Sessions Judge in charge in charge,**

**North 24 Parganas.**

**Sri S.Bhattacharyya,  
Sessions Judge in charge in charge, North 24 Parganas.**