

Criminal Misc. Case No.2343 of 2026

Present: Sukumar Ray

J.O. CODE NO.WB00590.

Sessions Judge, North 24-Parganas

Order No. 04 dated 21.07.2026

1. In this criminal Misc. case under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 the accused/petitioner namely, **Himanshu Raj** in connection with G.R no.977 of 2025 arising out of Bidhannagar Cyber Crime Police Station case No.122/2025 dated 05.10.2025 under sections 111(4)/111(6)/316(2)/318(4)/319(2)/61(2) of B.N.S and 66C/66D of Information Technology Act,2000 has come up with a prayer for grant of bail.

2. At the very outset, Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that no application for bail has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court.

3. Ld. Advocate draws my attention to the paragraph no.xxi of the application under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

4. Ld. Public prosecutors submits that there is no indication in the case diary which goes to suggest that prayer for bail for the accused/petitioner has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court or is pending before the Hon'ble Court for disposal.

5. Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner prays for bail on the following grounds:

(I) the petitioner is completely innocent;

(ii) he has been falsely implicated in this case solely on the basis of statements of co-accused;

(iii) he has deep roots in the society;

(iv) he was merely doing his duty as a Branch Sales Executive Manager;

(v) he has been detained in custody for more than 200 days I.e for a considerable length of time;

(vi) during the entire period of police custody, the accused has fully cooperated with the investigating agency and

(vii) the alleged role attributed to the petitioner is limited to the opening of certain bank accounts in the ordinary course of his official duties. Hence, he prays for bail on any condition.

6. Ld. Public Prosecutor opposes the bail prayer on the ground that the accused hand in glove with other accused namely Harshit Oberoi committed this offence in an organized manner.

7. I have carefully perused the F.I.R, contents of the case record as well as case diary particularly the statements of the witnesses recorded under section 180 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

8. On bare reading of the pages of the case diary, it appears that financial trail analysis reveals direct payment transaction between bank account no. 46980200000772 in the name and style as M.V. Enterprise and other co-accused, establishing financial connectivity relevant to the offences. It further appears that the accused possesses sufficient means and proximity to the witnesses and relevant materials, thereby creating a genuine likelihood of interference with the administration of criminal justice. Aside that, possibility of the accused absconding or evading the due process of law can not be ruled out, particularly having regard to the nature of the offence alleged. Under the canopy of circumstances, I do not find any just cause to liberate the accused on bail.

09. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner namely **Himanshu Raj** stands rejected.

10. Case diary be returned at once.

11. Let a copy of this order along with the TCR be sent down to the Court concerned.

12. Misc. case is disposed of.

13. Let a copy of the order be also sent to the I.O through Ld. Public Prosecutor for information.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Sessions Judge,

North 24 Parganas, Barasat

Sessions Judge, North 24 Parganas, Barasat