

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE IN CHARGE,
NORTH 24 PARGANAS**

Present: **Sri S.Bhattacharyya (WB-00726)**

Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.

Criminal Misc. Case No.1367 of 2026

Salauddin Laskar V/s The state.

Order no. 02 dated 17.06.2026:

The instant application u/s. 483 of B.N.S.S filed by the petitioner, **Salauddin Laskar** in c/w Technocity P.S. case No.49/2026 dated 07.03.2026 u/s. 109/117(2)/118(2)/126/329(3)/351(2)/3(5) of B.N.S and adding Section 103 of B.N.S. is taken up for hearing and order.

T.C.R. has been received. C.D is produced.

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner accused and the learned P.P In-charge.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was arrested on 14.03.2026 and since then he has languishing in custody and investigation has been completed and chargesheet has been submitted and as such there is no justification for further detention of the present petitioner. In view of that, there is no need for further custodial detention and at this stage, he may be enlarged on bail under any conditions. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is a local person who will not abscond and he is ready to face the trial diligently and considering the same in any stringent terms and condition, the acdd may be released on bail. He further submits that no such application of this petitioner has either been moved before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court on any previous occasion. Hence, he prays for grant of bail of the accused petitioner on any condition as deemed fit.

Ld. P.P in charge raises objection and submits that the chargesheet has already been submitted within the statutory period and bail prayer of the co-accused was rejected on 15.05.2026.

Ld. Counsel for the defacto complainant with the consent of the Id P.P in charge appears by filing vokatatnama and he raises objection.

Hd. Perused. Considered.

Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioner has very impressively submitted while praying for bail of his client regarding the facts of the case that police has submitted chargesheet in this case against the present petitioner. Also that the petitioner has already undergone about 90 days of imprisonment pending investigation and further more that the defacto complainant has never submitted before the Court below any "na razi" petition against chargesheet. Thus, according to him, there is no necessity to detain the acdd person in custody. To my mind, however, the relevant points for consideration for bail prayer of the petitioner are to be appreciated differently, by considering whether release of the petitioner may render him opportunity to jeopardize the case in any way or create any possibility for him to evade the process of trial. I have carefully gone through the materials in the C.D to find that the nature of the case is extremely grave. There has been an active part of this petitioner in the entire facts and circumstances of the case. How far the petitioner shall be held guilty is a question to be determined in trial. However, on the basis of the materials available before me which are weighed against the settled principles to grant bail to a person, I am of the opinion that the petitioner in this case is not entitled to a favourable order.

On the basis of the discussion as above, I am inclined to reject his bail prayer.

Return the T.C.R.. Thus, the Cr. Misc. Case is disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be tagged with the case record.

Let copies of this order be sent to the concerned P.S.

Dictated & corrected by me.

**Sri S.Bhattacharyya,
Sessions Judge in charge,
North 24 Parganas.**

**Sri S.Bhattacharyya,
Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.**