

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Thursday, the 18th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. Nos. 2236 / 2026 and 2237/2026

1. Vijay, aged 26/26, S/o. Arumugam

2. Mayee @ Ruban, aged 29/26, S/o. Selvam ...Petitioners in Crl.M.P. No. 2236/2026

Kunal, aged 22/26, S/o. Mathiyalagan ...Petitioner in Crl.M.P. No. 2237/2026

Vs.

Inspector of Police,

Lalgudi P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 211/2026 ...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. R. Rajkumar, for the petitioners in Crl.M.P. No.2236/2026 and of advocate Thiru. N. Babu, for the petitioner in Crl.M.P. No. 2237/2026 and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

COMMON ORDER

These 2 applications for anticipatory bail filed u/s. 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioners and another accused for the offences u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 2002. The petitioners are A1, A3 and A4 in this case. The occurrence happened on 30.05.2026.

The learned counsels for the petitioners contended that the petitioners are innocents

and they have not committed any such offence and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and the investigation is pending and hence objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that due to previous enmity, the petitioners and other accused attacked the son of the defacto complainant and the same was thwarted by the defacto complainant and the 1st accused attacked the defacto complainant with aruval and 2nd accused attacked the daughter of the defacto complainant with stone and all other accused attacked them and caused injuries to them and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. The occurrence happened on 30.05.2026. Considering the above stated aspects, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with following conditions;

1. The petitioners/accused in both petitions shall in the event of arrest by the respondent police or on their surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi on or before 02.07.2026 be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police Officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.
2. The petitioners/accused in both petitions shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M., for a period of 30 days.
3. The petitioners/accused in both petitions shall make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.

4. The petitioners/accused in both petitions shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.
5. The petitioners/accused in both petitions shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
6. The petitioners/accused in both petitions shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
7. The learned Magistrate/Trial court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners/accused were released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.
8. If the petitioners/accused in both petitions thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 18th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police, Lalgudi P.S., Tiruchirappalli.