

IN THE COURT OF Sessions Judge in charge, NORTH 24 PARGANAS

Present: **Sri Parag Neogi (WB-00957)**

Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.

Criminal Misc. Case No.548 of 2026

Soumya Dutta V/s The state.

Order no. 02 dated 09.03.2026:

The instant application u/s. 483 B.N.S.S filed by the petitioner, **Soumya Dutta** in c/w Barasat P.S. case No.671/2025 dated 18.12.2025 u/s. 85/103/80 of B.N.S and 3/4 of D.P. Act is taken up for hearing and order. L.C.R received. C.D is also produced.

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner accused and the learned P.P In-charge.

Ld. advocate for the petitioner draws the attention to the seizure list and P.M report wherefrom it appears that the deceased on her own arranging everything by locking the door of her bedroom committed suicide and the present accused recovered the deceased by breaking the door of the room and took her to hospital and that nothing was happened as described in the written complaint and the accused petitioner is in custody for a sufficient period of time and that if the accused person is released on bail, there is no chance of his absconding and or tampering or hampering with the evidence of this case. He further submits that no such application of this petitioner has either been moved before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court on any previous occasion. Hence, he prays for grant of bail of the accused petitioner on any condition as deemed fit.

Ld. P.P in charge appearing for the State vehemently opposed the bail prayer of the accused arguing that the marriage of the deceased was solemnized in the year 20225 and the deceased was tortured and assaulted over demand of dowry and money was taken from the deceased family on several occasions.

Ld. Counsel for the defacto complainant with the consent of the Id P.P in charge appears by filing vokalatnama and raises vehement objection. He also submits that the member of the family of the petitioner is an influential person and that the victim was brutally assaulted by the petitioner and it is a fit case for custody trial.

Perused the C.D.

There are serious allegation against the accused person which is reflected from the materials in the C.D. Though the chargesheet has already been submitted, but if bail is granted, there is a chance to vitiate the trial and also there is a chance of intimidation upon the proposed witnesses. Moreover, several times after submitting the chargesheet, the bail prayers of the accused were rejected. No such materials are found after that rejection which goes in favour of the present accused.

Considering above, the prayer for bail is rejected.

Return the L.C.R along with a copy of this order to the concerned Court for information and necessary action.

C.D be returned.

The instant C.M.C is disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me.

**Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge,
North 24 Parganas.**

**Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.**