

IN THE COURT OF LD Sessions Judge in charge, NORTH 24 PARGANAS.

Present: **Sri Parag Neogi (WB-00957)**

Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas

Criminal Misc. Case No. 320 of 2026

Sukanta Mondal @ Sukhanta Mondal and others V/s The state.

Order no. 03 dated 30.03.2026:

The application for bail under section 482 of B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **Sukanta Mondal @ Sukhanta Mondal, Jiban Mondal, Dipankar Mondal and Milan Das** in connection with Gaighata P.S Case no. 1234 of 2025 dated 27.12.2025 under section 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/76/351(2)/3(5) of B.N.S is taken up for hearing.

L.C.R received. C.D is also produced.

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioners accused and the learned P.P In-charge.

Learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the defacto complainant and the petitioners are neighbours and the earlier complaint was lodged on behalf of these petitioners being Gaighata P.S case no. 1233/2025 dt 27.12.2025 and out of previous grudge, the subsequent complaint has been lodged against these petitioners and some of the co-accused persons are on bail and that the defacto complainant has lodged a false F.I.R against the accused persons and the accused are local residents and they have permanent hearth and home and entire fact has surfaced in the F.I.R and they are ready to cooperate with the investigation and to face the trial. He further submits that no such application of these petitioners has either been moved before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court on any previous occasion. Hence, he prays for grant of bail of the accused petitioners on any condition as deemed fit.

Ld. P.P. In-charge raises objection, relying on the materials on C.D, including the statements of witnesses recorded under Section 180 of B.N.S.S and injury reports showing injury. He also submits that there is allegation against the accused persons.

Perused the materials in the C.D. Considered.

It appears that there is a case and counter case in between the parties in respect of the self same incident. Materials on C.D suggest that the condition of the victim is stable. Major part of the investigation has also been completed. Materials on C.D especially the injury reports do not support the contention of the complainant mentioned in the written complaint. Materials on C.D also do not support the custodial interrogation of the present petitioners.

Considering above, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed with some conditions.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the accd persons namely **Sukanta Mondal @ Sukhanta Mondal, Jiban Mondal, Dipankar Mondal and Milan Das** may find bail on furnishing bond of Rs.5,000/- each with two sureties of Rs.2,500/- each of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer, and to comply with the provision as laid down u/s. 482(2) B.N.S.S subject to condition that they also shall not directly or indirectly try to meet, interact, influence or intimidate the complainant or witnesses, and also shall not try to tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear personally on every date before the Jurisdictional Court and and they shall appear before the Id Court below for regular bail within three weeks

Return the L.C.R along with a copy of this order to the concerned Court for information and necessary action.

C.D be returned.The instant C.M.C is disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me.
Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge,
North 24 Parganas.

Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge,
North 24 Parganas.