

IN THE COURT OF Sessions Judge in charge, NORTH 24 PARGANAS

Present: **Sri Parag Neogi (WB-00957)**

Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.

Criminal Misc. Case No. 307 of 2026

Sayan Chatterjee V/s The state.

Order no. 05 dated 17.03.2026:

The instant application u/s. 482 B.N.S.S filed by the petitioner, **Sayan Chatterjee** in c/w Ghola P.S. case No.413/2025 dated 13.12.2025 u/s.85/108 of B.N.S is taken up for hearing and order.

L.C.R is received. C.D is produced.

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner accused and the learned P.P In-charge.

Ld. advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner happens to be the husband of the victim petitioner was falsely implicated in this complaint and that the alleged incident occurred on 08.11.2025 though the F.I.R came to be lodged one months and five days thereafter on 13.12.2025 I.e after long delay and the said delay remained unexplained and such delay does not rule out the concoction or embellishment and that the victim committed suicide at her paternal home and that the victim voluntarily left her matrimonial home and that the petitioner lodged several complaints regarding the torture inflicted upon him by his wife prior to the incident and that one Mat Suit bearing Mat Suit no. 1958 of 2023 under Section 9 of H.M. Act was filed between the parties and the said suit was dismissed for default and that the victim was suffering from mental disorder and doctor advised to consult psychiatrist and that the petitioners took the victim to the doctor for treatment and in support of his contention, the ld lawyer for the petitioner files some documents along with firisti and also submits that neither the victim nor the inmates of her matrimonial home lodged any complaint before any forum and that there was no instigation or no provocation from the side of the present accused in respect of the alleged suicide of the deceased and the petitioners are innocent and thus, nothing has been happened as alleged. In view of that, there is no need for custodial interrogation of the petitioners at this stage and considering the same, in any stringent terms and conditions, their prayer for anticipatory bail may be granted.

It is submitted by Ld. Advocate for the accused that no bail petition is pending before or rejected earlier by this court or by any upper court.

Ld. P.P. In-charge submits that the present petitioners have been long absconding. Ld. P.P in charge has, therefore, raised objection against the prayer for bail. He also submits that there is explanation about the delay in lodging the complaint and he relies on the suicidal note, statements recorded under Section 180 and 183 of B.N.S.S.

Hd. Both sides. Perused the materials in the case record. Considered.

The allegations against the accused person are grievous in nature. Moreover, there is a suicidal note of the victim in the C.D. Investigation is on full swing. If at this stage, bail is granted, then there is a chance of tampering with evidence by the accused.

Considering above, the prayer for bail is rejected.

Return the T.C.R along with a copy of this order to the concerned Court for information and necessary action.

C.D be returned.

The instant C.M.C is disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me.

Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge,
North 24 Parganas.

Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.