

IN THE COURT OF Sessions Judge in charge, NORTH 24 PARGANAS

Present: **Sri Parag Neogi (WB-00957)**

Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.

Criminal Misc. Case No.243 of 2026

Pintu Mondal @ Tarikul Islam Mondal V/s The state.

Order no. 03 dated 05.03.2026:

The instant application u/s. 482 B.N.S.S filed by the petitioner, **Pintu Mondal @ Tarikul Islam Mondal** in c/w Matia P.S. case No.22/2026 dated 19.01.2026 u/s.103/238/61(2)/3(5) of B.N.S is taken up for hearing and order.

L.C.R received. C.D is also produced.

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner accused and the learned P.P In-charge.

Ld. advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not involved in the alleged offence and that the allegation is that the present petitioner facilitated the other accused persons to commit the murder, but there is no direct involvement in the alleged offence and that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case only for harassment and that the alleged incident took place on 15.01.2026, but the F.I.R came to be lodged on 19.01.2026 and that there is no explanation about the delay in lodging the complaint and that the allegations are completely false. In view of that, there is no need for custodial interrogation of the petitioners at this stage and considering the same, in any stringent terms and conditions, their prayer for anticipatory bail may be granted.

It is submitted by Ld. Advocate for the accused that no bail petition is pending before or rejected earlier by this court or by any upper court.

Ld. Public Prosecutor in charge appearing on behalf of the State has submitted that the statement recorded under Section 180 of B.N.S.S and P.M report as lying in the C.D transpires the gravity of the allegations and on the basis of that, it will not be justifiable to grant anticipatory bail at this stage.

Ld. Counsel for the defacto complainant with the consent of the ld P.P in charge appears by filing vokalatnama and he also submits that the victim was missing and subsequently the victim was murdered and that the petitioner is also involved in the alleged offence.

Hd. Both sides. Perused the materials in the C.D. Considered.

It is revealed from the written complaint that the present applicant helped the main accused persons in the commission of the alleged offence and he knows everything. The statements of the witnesses and also those of one of the accused persons reflected that the alleged incident took place due to the instruction of the present accused/applicant.

Considering above, this Court finds no cogent ground to allow the anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

Return the L.C.R along with a copy of this order to the concerned Court for information and necessary action.

C.D be returned.

The instant C.M.C is disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me.

**Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge,
North 24 Parganas.**

**Sri Parag Neogi,
Sessions Judge in charge, North 24 Parganas.**