

10.07.2026

Present: Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Arvind Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 1
(through VC).
Sh. Jishnu Bharadwaj, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 4
(through VC).

1. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 4 that he shall be filing the memo of appearance during the course of the day and the Vakalatnama shall be filed on behalf of the defendant no. 4 in the due course. Let the same be done.

2. The summons issued to defendant no. 2 through ordinary process is received back duly served. The summons issued to defendant no. 3 through ordinary process and RC is not received back.

3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that the defendant no. 2 is in judicial custody and the summons were duly served to him through the concerned Jail Superintendent. The process server's report indicates that the defendant no. 2 Anant Grover was duly served while he is in JC and is currently lodged at Dum Dum Central Correctional Home, West Bengal.

4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 4 that the defendant no. 4 has not been supplied with complete set of document filed along with the present suit and the index filed by the plaintiff indicates that there are total 83 pages, however only 19 pages were supplied to them and even the complete copy of the plaint is not supplied.

Cont.....

5. The Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 4 is requested to provide his e-mail address and the whatsapp number on the VC chat box and the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is directed to supply the complete set to the Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 4 during the course of the day itself. Upon receipt of the complete set, the WS be filed within the statutory period of time.

6. The defendant no. 1 has filed the WS along with the reply to the application moved by the plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC, 1908. The copy of the same is stated to have been supplied in advance to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff and the same is confirmed by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

7. Issue production warrants through the concerned Jail Superintendent qua the defendant no. 2 Anant Grover for appearing before this Court on the next date of hearing in person or through VC. The Ahlmad is directed to mention the VC link along with the Mobile Number of the Reader of this Court in the Production Warrants itself.

8. The plaintiff is directed to take fresh steps qua service of summons qua the defendant no. 3 within one week from today through all permissible modes.

9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that he wants to press the application, moved by him, under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC, 1908. This Court is not inclined to pass any order on the said application till the plaintiff take the steps with respect to the service of the summons upon the remaining defendant i.e. defendant no.3 and the copy of the application is supplied to the defendant no. 4 and no order shall be passed without hearing the other defendants.

Cont.....

10. Re-list on **28.09.2026**. (Date has been given as per the convenience of the Counsels).

(Atul Ahlawat)
DJ-03/South-East District
Saket Courts, New Delhi/10.07.2026

1. At this stage, after the court had passed the order and categorically declined the prayer made by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff with respect to passing of the status quo orders, the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has continued to make the submissions, even after other matters were called. The conduct of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is highly deplorable, however, this Court is showing immense restraint in not passing any coercive order against the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

2. The Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has made a request for deleting the portion recorded in the present order with respect to recording the conduct of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, the request is declined.

3. The Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has tendered his unconditional apology. Same is hereby accepted. The aforesaid observations made with respect to the conduct of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff are hereby expunged.

(Atul Ahlawat)
DJ-03/South-East District
Saket Courts, New Delhi/10.07.2026