IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.II,TAMBARAM

PRESENT : Tmt.K.M. Kalaiarasi M.L.,
Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tambaram.(FAC)

Friday, the 31st day of July, 2026
Crl.M.P.No. 1853 of 2026
in
CSR No.390 of 2026
(on the file of Respondent)

Ram Kumar, M/A-53 years,
S/o. Ganapathy Subramnian,
No.G1, Sarvamangalam Homes,
Vijayalakshmi Nagar, Valliyammal Street,
Chrompet, Radha Nagar,
Chennai – 600 044. ... Petitioner/ Defacto Complainant

vs

1. State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Chitlapakkam Police Station. ...Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up for final hearing today before this court, the petitioner appeared in person and upon perusal of available records this Court delivers the following;

ORDER

This Petition has been filed u/s 497 & 503 BNSS seeking return of frozen money from bank account.

1. Brief Averments of the petition:

The petitioner stated that, he had previously purchased clothes through an online company named Natchatra Collection in Trichy. They mentioned that, according to a Whatapp status posed by Natchatra Collection, an investment of Rs.1,000/- would yield a return of Rs.100/- weekly and believing this, he invested the money and subsequently received the amount. The petitioner additionally mentioned that Natchatra Collection subsequently prompted him to make an investment of Rs.1,00,000/- promising a monthly return of Rs.1,000/- on the principal capital. Trusting these assurances, he conducted 17 online transactions totalling Rs.3,03,500/- from Indian Bank Account No. 6799453458 to the number 86678 57821 for the period, from 30.05.2025 to 19.07.2025. He further declared that he eventually understood he had been a victim of online fraud and had lost his entire investment. The petitioner lodged a complaint before the respondent, Chitlapakkam Police and the same is registered in CSR No.390/2026. The respondent police froze the amount from the suspect account. The petitioner sought for de-freezing the account and return his amount to him.

2. Reply filed by the Respondent Police:

In the reply dated 30.07.2026, the respondent stated that in their investigation they found that, the petitioner was cheated and they froze the suspect account. The Respondent further submitted that a total amount of Rs.87,739.97/- is available in the suspect bank account and they have no objection in returning the frozen amount of Rs.87,739.97/- to the complainant.

3. Point for determination

Whether the petition is allowed or not?

4. Enquiry heard and records perused. The case of the petitioner is that, the petitioner was cheated and he was made to pay a sum of Rs Rs.3,03,500/- to an online fraudster. On perusal of reply filed, it is seen that petitioner transferred amount from his bank account to the suspect Bank account. The Respondent police has no objection in returning the frozen amount of Rs.87,739.97/- to the petitioner by de-freezing the account.

Therefore, the Investigation Officer is directed to take steps to revert the money available in the suspect bank accounts as per reply filed or proportionate to transfer made by the petitioner or whatever is available if it is less than the

KALAIARASI

Digitally signed by KALAIARASI
Location: Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tambaram
Date: 2026.07.31 17:51:13 +0530

amount transferred by the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

5. In the result, this petition is allowed, subject to the following conditions,

a) The Petitioner shall execute an own bond for Rs.87,000/-

b) The Petitioner shall file an affidavit that he shall be willing to remit Rs.87,739.97/- subject to the outcome of the trial.

c) The Petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation.

This order is directly dictated to the Steno typist by me, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this 31st day of July, 2026.

KALAIARASI

Digitally signed by KALAIARASI
Location: Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tambaram
Date: 2026.07.31 17:51:19 +0530

Judicial Magistrate No. II,
Tambaram (FAC).