

WBND060008422023



C R Case/60/2023
Present : Preetha Mukherjee
JO Code WB01445

REKHA RANI RUDRA Vs. TANMAY KARMAKAR

Order date 15.05.2024

To date is fixed for S.R and appearance.

No step is taken on behalf of the accused person.

Complainant files hazira along with a petition praying for a direction upon the process server for substituted service of summons on the ground that summons has been issued multiple times but the same has not been able to be served as the complainant was not present at home.

Heard.

It appears from the record that summons were issued to the accused person, namely, Tanmay Karmakar but the same has been returned with an endorsement that the house was locked and the accused person Tanamy Karmakar was not traced in his house.

Form such endorsement, it transpires that the accused is trying to evade the service of summons and therefore, I am of the opinion that this is a fit case where substituted service of summons can be allowed U/s 65 of Cr.P.C.

Complainant is directed to take fresh steps upon the accused person.

The process server is directed to serve the summons to the accused person personally and if he is not present to serve it by leaving one of the duplicates for him with some adult male members of his family residing with him. If service of summons cannot be effected in any of the above mentioned manner, the process server is directed to affix one of the duplicates of the summons to some of conspicuous part of the house in which the accused person ordinarily reside and submit a report thereof.

Fix 01.08.2024 for S.R and appearance.

Requisites at once.

D/C by me,

Sd/- Preetha Mukherjee
J.M, Nabadwip, Nadia.