

SOP Vs. Rahul Kumar

Present: Sh. Vikramjit Singh Advocate counsel for applicant/accused.
Ms. Monika Gupta, Addl. PP for State.

Pending record received and perused.

2. Heard on bail application filed by applicant/accused Rahul Kumar son of Harish Kumar under Section 483 BNSS of 2023. Accused/applicant Rahul Kumar was earlier on bail vide order dated 11.01.2023. He absented from Court proceedings and was declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 12.08.2024. He is in judicial custody since then. This period of detention is sufficient to teach him a lesson for absence. The conclusion of trial is likely to take time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him behind the bars anymore. Therefore, accused/applicant is admitted on regular bail subject to furnishing of personal bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety of the like amount. The applicant-accused shall abide by the following conditions:

- A. The applicant-accused shall appear in the court on each and every date of hearing.
- B. The applicant-accused shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court,
- C. The applicant-accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

- D. In case it is found on later stage that bail has been got obtained by misrepresentation of any fact, then bail order can be revisited and may be cancelled.
- E. The bail order, bail bonds/surety bonds can be cancelled/forfeited in case applicant-accused fails to appear in the trial. No additional order from this court will be necessary.

Personal bonds/surety bonds not furnished. File be tagged with the main file.

Date of Order: 24.07.2026

Sarita Jhaba-III

Aashish Abrol,
Addl. Sessions Judge,
UID No.PB0203,
Ludhiana