

SC No. 724(12)2025 (R-724/2025)

S.T. No. III(January)2026

Present : Smt. Sushmita Gayen

Order No. 01 dtd. 22.01.2026

J.O. Code WB00823

Today is fixed for report from O/C Legal Cell and hearing of the bail application, filing LA and framing of charge and production of the accused persons.

Both the accused persons namely Tuhin Halder and Sukanta Biswas @ Ganesh are produced from J/C.

Received the report from O/C Legal Cell from where it appears that the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to reject the bail prayer of the accused persons vide CRM(M) 2574/2025 and CRM(M) 2575/2025 vide order dated 04.12.2025.

LA is filed this day by Id. District P.P. Debasish Roy.

The record is taken up for hearing on the point of charge.

Ld. Advocate for the accused persons is present.

Ld. District P.P. is also present.

Heard both sides.

Considered.

Now, the record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Perused the materials on case record and the CD.

On perusal of the case record and the CD, I find that there is sufficient material, prima facie for framing charge u/s.103(1) of BNS read with section 3(5) of BNS against the accused persons named above.

Accordingly, charge is framed in separate sheet of paper and kept with the record.

The contents of the charge so framed is read over and explained to the accused persons in the '**Bengali languages**' to which they pleaded not guilty by saying '**AMRA NIRDOSH**' and claimed to be tried.

Let, the accused persons be tried as per Law.

Issue summons upon CSW1 accordingly.

Prosecution is directed to take necessary steps.

To **04.02.2026** for evidence of **CSW1**.

D/c by me

Sd/- S. Gayen

**Additional Sessions Judge,
4th Court, Krishnagar, Nadia**

Sd/- S. Gayen

**Additional Sessions Judge,
4th Court, Krishnagar, Nadia**

SC No. 724(12)2025 (R-724/2025)

S.T. No. III(January)2026

Present : Smt. Sushmita Gayen

Later :

The record is taken up for hearing of the bail application.

Heard the Id. Advocate on behalf of the accused who submits that the bail prayer of the accused persons were dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court considering the stage of the

case but since charge has already been framed he prays for bail on any terms and conditions.

The Ld. District P.P., appearing on behalf of the State submits that since charge has been already framed it shows that there is prima facie case against these accused persons and he therefore prays for rejection of the bail application.

Heard both sides. Considered.

From the order of the Hon'ble High Court, I find that the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to order that *"Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner being CRM(M) 2574/2025 is dismissed. Petitioner is granted liberty to renew his prayer for bail after the stage of consideration of charge is over."*

Considering the fact that the Hon'ble High Court has rejected the prayer for bail and charge has already been framed and trial will commence very soon and 04.02.2026 has been fixed for evidence so I am not inclined to enlarge the accused persons on bail at this crucial stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the accused persons is considered and **rejected**.

Accused persons be remanded back to J/C and be produced on the next date fixed (04.02.2026).

D/c by me

Sd/- S. Gayen

**Additional Sessions Judge,
4th Court, Krishnagar, Nadia**

Sd/- S. Gayen

**Additional Sessions Judge,
4th Court, Krishnagar, Nadia**