

Present: **Shri Sujay Sengupta**
Sessions Judge, Nadia.

Criminal Misc. Case No.631 of 2026

Order No.03
21.04.2026

The application for anticipatory bail **U/s 482 of the BNSS** filed on behalf of petitioner **Hossain Sk @ Hosen Sk** who apprehends arrest in connection with **Chapra P.S. Case No.1560/2025** dated **17.12.2025** under **sections 126(2)/118(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and read with sections 25/27 of Arms Act** is taken up for hearing along with LCR and CD.

Heard Learned Advocate for the petitioner as well as Learned Public Prosecutor.

At the outset, Learned Advocates of both sides state that no similar bail application is preferred, pending before or rejected by any Higher Court of law.

Rummaging the materials so far available, I find that the FIR was registered on 17.12.2025 over the incident happened on that date.

It is the serious allegation against the accused persons that at the time of the incident, being the hooligans, attacked the complainant with sharp cutting weapons and with arms and ammunition and fired aiming at victim, who was hospitalised for a considerable period and was subsequently discharged.

It is the contention of the Learned Advocate for the petitioner that the involvement of the present petitioner is remote and as because, charge sheet has been submitted, the prayer for bail under section 482 of the BNSS can be considered.

On perusal of the materials, I find the series of seizure lists, wherefrom it is evident that incriminating articles, like *katari*, lathi, etc. and ammunition have been recovered.

Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and drew my attention that the antecedents of the present petitioner and other accused persons are there.

Considered the submissions of both sides.

Perused the materials in LCR and CD.

As per materials, collected, there are five other criminal cases pending against the present petitioner, including one under section 302 of the IPC. The accused persons, who have been arrested in the meantime, are in custody. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Considering all these aspects and the materials available in the CD, my considered view is that it is fit a case for custody trial.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail under section 482 of the BNSS stands **rejected**.

CD and LCR be returned.

Criminal Misc. Case, is, thus, finally disposed of.

Dictated & Corrected by me

Sd/- S. Sengupta
Sessions Judge, Nadia
21.04.2026

Sd/- S. Sengupta
Sessions Judge, Nadia
21.04.2026