

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MALDA.

**Present : Shri Subhankar Sen,
Ld. Sessions Judge, Malda.**

Criminal Misc. Case No. 684 of 2026

Order No. 04 dated 17-04-2026

The application, praying for bail, under Section 482 of the BNSS, submitted on behalf of the petitioners, namely **1. Deep Karmakar @ Dipankar Karmakar and 2. Bapan Karmakar @ Subhankar Karmakar**, who apprehend arrest, in connection with **Malda P.S. Case No. 255/2025 dated 17.04.2025 under Sections 325/341/427/448/467/468/471/506/34 of the I.P.C.**, is taken up for hearing along with LCR and C.D.

At the outset, Ld. Defence Counsel mentioned that no other bail application in respect of the petitioners has been preferred or pending or rejected before any higher authority concerned.

The anticipatory bail prayer is moved by the learned Advocate on behalf of the petitioners. Ld. Advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that it has been alleged that FIR named accused no. 1 has made birth certificate by forgery of the defacto complainant. These accused-petitioners are the sons of FIR named accused no. 1 and they are in no way involved with the alleged offence. Therefore, Ld. Advocate for the petitioners prays for bail on any condition this court may deem fit and proper.

Learned P.P., on the other hand raised objection against the bail prayer and he submitted that it is a case of serious nature.

Considered the submissions of both sides.

Perused the materials on record and CD.

Having heard the submissions of both sides, as aforesaid, and perusing the materials in LCR and CD and other documents placed before me, it appears that the instant accused-petitioners are named in the FIR according to which there has been an allegation of falsely procuring birth certificate, Adhaar, EPIC of the FIR named accused person Jhumpa Karmakar and so far as the accused-petitioners are concerned they primarily seem to have collusion and connivance with the FIR named accused nos. 1 and 2. This being an early stage of investigation, I think it is a fit case of custodial interrogation. So, I am not inclined to allow the anticipatory bail of the present accused-petitioners. Thus, the prayer for pre-arrest bail **stands rejected**.

Return the C.D. and the L.C.R.

Thus, the Criminal Misc. Case is hereby disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sessions Judge, Malda.

Sessions Judge, Malda.