

Yugal Kishore and another versus Vinod Kumar

Present: Shri Bikramjit Singh Simble, Advocate, counsel for the applicants/accused.
Shri Pankaj Kumar, Advocate, counsel for the respondent/complainant.

1. Shri Pankaj Kumar, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondent/complainant and has filed the *Vakalatnama*. Trial court record has been received.

2. Heard. From the perusal of trial court record it is made out that the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala has summoned the accused/applicants to face trial under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC vide order dated 03.02.2024. Since it is a complaint case and disposal thereof will take time, no useful purpose would be served by sending the accused/applicants to the jail at this stage. Accordingly, the instant application is disposed off with the observations that in case the accused/applicants cause their appearance before the learned trial Court within ten days from today, the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate shall admit them to bail on furnishing of bail bonds to its satisfaction. However, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to impose any other condition upon the accused/applicants at the time of admitting them to bail. In case the accused/applicants misuse the concession of bail by absenting from the proceedings, the trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel this bail order.

3. The trial court record alongwith copy of this order be returned and the instant file be consigned to the record room.

Date of order : 30.04.2024

(Vinay)

(Rajinder Aggarwal)
Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur
(UID No.PB00048)