

CNR No.PBMN010033272020

BA/1445/2020

Sandeep Sony Vs. Sunder Kumar Sony

Present: Shri Randeep Sharma, Advocate for applicant-accused Sandeep Sony.

Despite specific direction of the court on last two dates that the correct address of respondent-complainant be furnished by applicant-accused, the direction has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel has failed to submit any explanation in this context. He also has shown inability to cite any case law that this application for anticipatory bail by a P.O. (applicant) is maintainable. Trial court record has been received which shows that this applicant-accused earlier was attending the proceedings. Suddenly he stopped from 28.8.2019 onwards to attend the proceedings. On three occasions he got moved applications for his exemption from appearance which stood allowed by the court. Then he fell absent altogether from 27.9.2019 and he ultimately was declared a P.O. on 06.01.2020. Till date he is P.O. in the trial Court proceedings. He now has prayed for anticipatory bail. This application hence is not maintainable in view of judgment Lovesh Vs. State (NCT of Delhi) (2012)8S CC 730. This application consequently is dismissed. Trial Court record be returned alongwith a copy of this order. A copy of this order be also sent to concerned Trial Court for intimation. Bail application be consigned to the record Room.

Pronounced on 05.11.2020

(Parveen Kumar)

(Rajeev K. Beri)

Addl. Sessions Judge,
Mansa/PB0149.