

**In the court of Neelam Arora,
Sessions Judge, Moga (UID No.PB-0091).**

Case Type	Bail Application.
CIS No.	BA/1443/2026.
CNR No.	PBMO01003351-2026
Date of order	15.5.2026

Pardeep Singh alias Bholu son of Jaspal Singh resident of village Khosa Kotla Tehsil Dharamkot District Moga.

.....Accused.

Versus
State

FIR No. 70 dated 4.5.2026. Under Section 303(2) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and offence under section 317(2) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 enhanced lateron. Police Station Sadar, Moga.

Application 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
--

Present: Sh. Kuldeep Singh Gill Advocate counsel for the applicant/
accused.
Ms. Neelam Pathak Public Prosecutor for the State.

ORDER

Apprehending his arrest, the applicant has filed the instant application under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. Upon notice, the learned Public Prosecutor for the State appeared and contested the bail application. Police record has been produced.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant Mr.Kuldeep Singh Gill Advocate has argued that the applicant is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further argued that the applicant has no concern with the alleged occurrence and no offence has been made out against the applicant

as per story of prosecution. He further argued that nothing is to be recovered from the applicant. He further argued that infact applicant used to work as labour in the factory of the complainant and the salary of the accused/applicant is pending towards the complainant. He further argued that the applicant is President of labour union and the accused/applicant raised a voice against the factory administration for paying the balance salary. He further argued that due to said reason, the administration of factory keep a grudge against the applicant and he has been falsely implicated in the present case due to said grudge. He further argued that nothing is to be recovered from the applicant/accused and he is ready to join the investigation. He further argued that he apprehends his arrest by the police, as the police officials have raided his house. He further argued that applicant/accused undertakes to abide by all the conditions to be imposed upon him and undertakes not to misuse the concession of bail. Hence, the bail application.

4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for the State Ms.Neelam Pathak hotly contested the application and argued that the present case was registered on the basis of statement of complainant Harish Kumar, who stated in his statement that his Harish Trading Company is located at Khosa Pando and in his factory, parts of Sonalika tractors are made. On 02.05.2026, at around 12 noon, he visited his factory and found two regulators of gauge for manufacturing Sonalika tractor parts were missing. He inquired about the same from factory workers, but no one gave satisfactory answer. When he checked the cameras, he found that his factory worker namely Pradeep Singh alias Bholu son of Jaspal Singh resident of Khosa Kotla, District Moga, had stolen the said regulators from his factory and the same was captured in the CCTV. He has also came to know that the Pardeep Singh had sold the said regulators to Raju

Singh (Kabaria) son of Garib Shah resident of Janer and before this, many thefts had taken place in his factory. She further argued that his co-accused Raju Singh has already been arrested in this case and from his possession one regulator has been recovered. She further argued that for recovery of second regulator, the custodial interrogation of the accused/applicant is required. She further argued that in view of the gravity of the offence, the applicant is not entitled to concession of anticipatory bail.

5. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the applicant, learned Public Prosecutor for the State and has gone through the record carefully. As per prosecution version, on 02.05.2026, at around 12 noon, when complainant Harish Kumar visited his factory, he found that two regulators of gauge for manufacturing Sonalika tractor parts were missing. He inquired about the same from factory workers, but no one gave satisfactory answer. When complainant checked the cameras installed in his factory, he found that his factory worker namely Pradeep Singh alias Bholu (present applicant) had stolen the said regulators from his factory and the incident was captured in the CCTV cameras. During the course of arguments, the said CCTV footage was also played on the desktop of the dais of this Court. The co-accused of present applicant namely Raju Singh has already been arrested in this case and from his possession one regulator has already been recovered. The investigation is still under progress. The matter requires thorough probe and investigation. For recovery of stolen regulator, the custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is required. The allegations against accused/applicant are serious in nature. The concession of pre-arrest bail can be granted in the event exceptional circumstances are made out. Keeping in view the gravity of allegations against the applicant/accused, no exceptional circumstances are made out to extend concession of pre-arrest bail

to him. Therefore, the present application deserves dismissal and the same stands dismissed.

6. The observations made above, shall not have any bearings on the decision of main case on merits. Bail application be consigned to the record room.

Date of order: 15.05.2026

Sushil Bajaj Stenographer Gr-II

(Neelam Arora)
Sessions Judge, Moga
UID No. PB0091