

Bail Matters 1245/2026
STATE Vs. ROHIT @ BACHHA
FIR No. 230/2022
PS Sangam Vihar

01.07.2026

This is an application U/s 483 of BNSS, 2023 received from the Filing Counter moved on behalf of applicant / accused for grant of regular bail.

Present: Sh. Pravin Rahul, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Arjun Singh Khurana, Counsel for complainant with complainant / nephew of deceased and PW-4 Arjun / nephew of deceased.
Ms. Radha Singh Dhauni, Counsel for accused/applicant.
Inspector Gursewak Singh, SHO PS Sangam Vihar is present.

1. Arguments on bail application of accused addressed by respective counsels heard.

2. Counsel for accused during course of arguments has submitted that accused is in custody in present case since last about 04 years and that out of 39 witnesses only four witnesses have been examined and it will take time to dispose of the case. She has submitted that matter is lingering for want of receipt of FSL Result which has been misplaced by police officials because of which witnesses are not being examined. She has submitted that accused was the sole earning member of his family and due to his incarceration, his mother has to work in various houses to run her household. She has submitted that as per cross-

Cont.....P2

:2:

examination of PW-3 / complainant, he did not see accused at the time of incident. She has submitted that even as per other eye witness PW-4 Arjun, name of accused was not taken by him in his examination in chief. She has submitted that accused is innocent and has nothing to do with commission of offence in question. She has filed copy of orders in cases titled as '***Praveen Rathore Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Anr. decided on 06.10.2023***' and '***Sahil Manoj Machara Vs. The State of Maharashtra SLP (Crl.) No. 7502/2026***' in support of her contentions and submitted that right of speedy trial of accused is infringed in present case on part of non-filing of FSL Result in present matter by police due to which, present bail application of accused should be considered sympathetically by this Court.

3. Ld. Addl. PP for State on the other hand has strongly opposed bail application of accused on the ground that accused is clearly visible in CCTV Footage firing upon deceased and whatever PW-3 or PW-4 might have stated in their respective depositions, technical devices do not lie and that accused is main culprit in present case. He has submitted that steps are being taken to file FSL Result before this Court on or before NDOH. Grant of bail to accused is strongly opposed by State.

4. Inspector Gursewak Singh has submitted that he will ensure filing of FSL Result in the matter on or before NDOH. He has opposed bail application of accused being main offender and

Cont.....P3

:3:

shooter in present case.

5. No doubt, not much number of witnesses have been examined in present case primarily due to non-filing of FSL Result in the matter but this Court hopes that trial of the case shall be expedited after receipt of FSL Result which is assured to be filed on or before NDOH. Accused as per CCTV Footage being shooter who allegedly shot at deceased, I am not inclined to grant bail to accused. Bail application of accused is accordingly dismissed.

6. Copy of order be given *dasti* to counsel for accused as prayed for.

(Sonu Agnihotri)
Addl. Sessions Judge-03(South)
Saket Courts/New Delhi/01.07.2026